MAT 320/640 Lecture 8 9/29/2021

Recep from last lecture:
Def:
$$(Sn)_{n\in N}$$
 is Cauchy if $\forall E70 \exists N \in N$ such that
if $N, M \geq N$ then $|Sn-Sm| \leq E$.
We proved last time that:
 $(Sn)_{N\in N}$ is convergent $\Longrightarrow (Sn)_{n\in N}$ is Cauchy
Prop. If $(Pn)_{n\in N}$ is Cauchy, then $(Sn)_{n\in N}$ is bounded.
If: Take $E = 1$; then there exists $N \in N \leq 1$.
if $N, M \geq N$, then $|Sn-Sm| \leq E = 1$; i.e.
 $-1 \leq Sn - Sn \leq 1$
Take $m = N$: $-1 \leq Sn \leq Sn + 1$ for all $N \geq N$
So, for $n7/N$, all elements in the sequence are in
the instruct $(Sn - 1, Sn + 1) = (q_1 + 5)$.
Let $M = max \{|Sn|\}$. Then b
 $a \leq Sn \leq b$ if $N \geq N$
 $a \leq Sn \leq b$ if $N \geq N$
 $a \leq Sn \leq b$ if $N \geq N$

Simce
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \sup S_{N} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sup \left\{ S_{N} : M \ge N \right\}$$

 $\lim_{N \to \infty} \inf S_{N} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \inf \left\{ S_{N} : M \ge N \right\}$
 $\lim_{N \to \infty} \inf S_{N} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \inf \left\{ S_{N} : M \ge N \right\}$
 $\lim_{N \to \infty} \sup S_{N} \leq \left(\lim_{N \to \infty} \inf S_{N} \right) + \sum \inf_{N \to \infty} \lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sup_{N \to \infty} S_{N} + \sum \lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sup_{N \to \infty} S_{N} + \sum \lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{N$

Evample:
$$S_{N} = (-4)^{N} \cdot n^{2}$$

$$\frac{M}{4} = \frac{S_{N}}{1} = \frac{S_{N}}{1} = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} +$$

Theorem. Let (Sn)new be a sequence. • Given tER, there exists a subsequence $(Sn_K)_{K\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(Sn)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ that converges to t if and only if {neN: |Sn-t|<E} is an infinite set for all 270. If (SN)NEN is unbounded from doore, then it has a subsequence that diverges to + ∞. (SN) renters these arbitrarily small neighbod's of Ly and Lz infinity often if and only if there exist subsequences converging to L1 and to L2. • If (Sn)new is unbounded there e from below, then it has a subsequence that diverges to - as Theorem. If a sequence (Sn)now converges to L, then every subsequence of (Sn)nEN also converges to L. Pf. Let (Snx)KEN be a subsequence of (Sn) nEN. Since Sn-2L, we know that 4270, ENEN s.f. if MSN, then Isn-LI<E. As KI->MK is an increasing function, NKZK for all KEN. Thus, NKZKZN whenever KZN. Therefore | Snx-L| < E whenever KZN. $i.e., S_{n_{K}} \longrightarrow L.$ L)

Remork: The converse statement also holds! Bolzono-Weierstress Theorem Every bounded sequence (in IR) has a convergent subsequence. subsegnence. Leurina. Every seguence (Su)ners hes a monotomic subsequence. Pl. Let's soy son is a dominant term if then >u, Su > Sm. Clearly, there are 2 possibilities: 1) There are infinitely many dominant elements not in (Sn)ne N 2) There are finitely many dominant elements in (Sn) new In case I), let (Snk) kEN be a subsequence of (Sn)nEN consisting only of dominant elevants. By definition, SMK > SNK for all K; So (SNK)KEN is monotonic decreasing

In case 2), since there are only finitely many dominant elements, we may choose n_EN such that Sn is not dominant for all nzng. No dominant element (HNZM1, IMEN) after Sn1 Sm > SN Apply the same reasoning with N=n1: ie SN is not dominant. choose mzEN to be the mEN given doore; Snz 7 Sng and proceed inductively. This produces a monotonic increasing subsequence $S_{n_1} \leq S_{n_2} \leq S_{n_3} \leq \dots$ Proof of Bolzono-Weierstress Thun: Since (Sn) ven is bounded, so is any subsequence $(S_{N,K})_{K\in\mathbb{N}}$. Choose a monotonic subsequence $(S_{N,K})_{K\in\mathbb{N}}$, which exists by the above Leunna. Then $(S_{N,K})_{K\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and monotonic, and therefore, convergent. We proved in Lecture 7 that manotonic bounded sequences converge.

Subsequential limits
Definition. A subsequential limit of a sequence
$$(Sn)_{next}$$

is a real number or $\pm \infty$ which arises as the
limit of some subsequence of $(Sn)_{next}$.
We denote $S = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \cup (\pm \infty) : Sn_{K} \rightarrow t \text{ for some subsequence} (Sn_{K})_{K \in N} \text{ of } (Sn)_{next} \}$

Examples:
•
$$Sn = (-4)^n \cdot n^2$$

• $\frac{m}{4} - 1$
2 4
3 -9
4 16
5 -25
 $\frac{1}{2}$
• $a_n = Sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{4}\right)$

All subsequences of
$$(sn)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$
 that
have a limit, go wither to too
or $-\infty$.
 $S = \{2-\infty, +\infty\}.$

All subsequences of
$$(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$
 that
have a limit eve eventually
constant (because $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is periodic).
 $S = \{-1, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 0, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 1\}$.

Theorem. If (Sn)new is a sequence, then there exist monotonic subsequences (Snx) KEN and (Sne) RED which converge to limsup so and not so respectively: lim by so respectively: luu sip $\lim_{k \to \infty} S_{n_k} = \lim_{n \to \infty} S_n$ lim inf lon sne = lining sn 1-00 = n-10 Theorem. If $(Sn)_{n\in a}$ is a sequence in \mathbb{R} , denoting by S the set of its subsequential limits, $S \neq \beta$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} Sn = Sup S$ $\begin{array}{rcl}
\text{limit} & \text{Sn} = \text{mf} & \text{S} \\
\text{n} = \infty
\end{array}$ In particular, sn -> L if and only if S=123. (Note: this last statement is the converse mentioned in the Remark above).