

Solutions to Homework Set 2

1. Decide whether each of the following subsets of \mathbb{R} is *countable* or *uncountable* and give a rigorous proof of your claim.

(a) $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \text{ is irrational}\}$

(b) $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

Side note: $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is a field! (But it does not have the least upper bound property.)

Solution:

(a) The set $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ of irrational numbers is uncountable. We proved in Lecture 3 (Video 6) that \mathbb{Q} is countable, and in Lecture 6 (Video 2) that \mathbb{R} is uncountable. If $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ was countable, then $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q} \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})$ would also be countable, because it would be a union of finitely many countable sets. Thus, $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is uncountable.

(b) The set $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is countable. Indeed, there is a bijection $\phi: \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, given by $\phi(a, b) = a + b\sqrt{2}$, and we established in Lecture 3 (Video 5) that the (finite) cartesian product of countable sets, such as $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$, is countable.

2. Given three distinct natural numbers $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$, construct a bounded set $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that a, b , and c are the *only* limit points of X , and *none* of them belong to X .

Solution:

Let $X = \{a + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2\} \cup \{b + \frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 2\} \cup \{c + \frac{1}{r} : r \in \mathbb{N}, r \geq 2\}$. Clearly, a is a limit point of X , since for any $\varepsilon > 0$, by the Archimedean property, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, such that $\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$, and hence $a + \frac{1}{n} \in (a, a + \varepsilon)$. Similarly, b and c are limit points of X . Aside from a, b, c , there is no other $x \in \mathbb{R}$ which is a limit point of X , since the distance from any $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (X \cup \{a, b, c\})$ to X is a (strictly) positive number. Finally, $X \cap \{a, b, c\} = \emptyset$ by construction, since $\{a, b, c\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $X \cap \mathbb{N} = \emptyset$.

3. Let (X, d) be any metric space. Prove that

$$\bar{d}(x, y) := \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)}, \quad x, y \in X$$

is also a distance function on X , i.e., prove that (X, \bar{d}) is also a metric space.

Solution:

We need to verify that \bar{d} satisfies the following 3 properties:

(i) $\bar{d}(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$ and $\bar{d}(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$.

Since $d(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$, then also $\frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} > 0$ if $x \neq y$. Moreover, $\frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} = 0$ if and only if $d(x, y) = 0$, which holds if and only if $x = y$.

(ii) $\bar{d}(x, y) = \bar{d}(y, x)$

This follows immediately from the assumption that $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, namely:

$$\bar{d}(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} = \frac{d(y, x)}{1 + d(y, x)} = \bar{d}(y, x).$$

(iii) Triangle inequality: $\bar{d}(x, z) \leq \bar{d}(x, y) + \bar{d}(y, z)$

First, observe that if $a, b, c \geq 0$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} a \leq b + c &\implies a \leq b + c + 2bc + abc \\ &\implies a + ab + ac + abc \leq (b + ab + bc + abc) + (c + ac + bc + abc) \\ &\implies a(1 + b)(1 + c) \leq b(1 + a)(1 + c) + c(1 + a)(1 + b) \\ &\implies \frac{a(1 + b)(1 + c)}{(1 + a)(1 + b)(1 + c)} \leq \frac{b(1 + a)(1 + c)}{(1 + a)(1 + b)(1 + c)} + \frac{c(1 + a)(1 + b)}{(1 + a)(1 + b)(1 + c)} \\ &\implies \frac{a}{1 + a} \leq \frac{b}{1 + b} + \frac{c}{1 + c}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the above with $a = d(x, z)$, $b = d(x, y)$, $c = d(y, z)$, it follows that the triangle inequality for d implies the triangle inequality for \bar{d} .

4. The *diameter* of a metric space (X, d) is defined to be:

$$\text{diam}(X, d) := \sup \{d(x, y) : x, y \in X\}$$

Compute the following diameters, justifying your answer:

- (a) $\text{diam}(\mathbb{R}^n, d)$, where d is the usual (Euclidean) distance;
- (b) $\text{diam}(\mathbb{R}^n, \bar{d})$, where \bar{d} is the distance defined in the previous exercise, with d still being the usual (Euclidean) distance.

Solution:

(a) $\text{diam}(\mathbb{R}^n, d) = +\infty$, i.e., the set $\{d(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ is not bounded from above. Indeed, if there existed an upper bound $M > 0$ such that $d(x, y) = \|x - y\| \leq M$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then taking $x = (0, \dots, 0)$ and $y = (M + 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, we would have $\|x - y\| = M + 1 > M$, a contradiction.

(b) $\text{diam}(\mathbb{R}^n, \bar{d}) = 1$. First, note that $\bar{d}(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} < 1$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so 1 is an upper bound for $\{\bar{d}(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$. In order to show that 1 is the least upper bound for this set, suppose there exists $a < 1$ such that $\bar{d}(x, y) \leq a$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$\frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} \leq a \implies d(x, y) \leq a + a d(x, y) \implies d(x, y) \leq \frac{a}{1 - a},$$

so $M = \frac{a}{1 - a}$ would be an upper bound for $\{d(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, contradicting what we proved above in (a). Therefore, no such $a < 1$ exists, and $\sup\{\bar{d}(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n\} = 1$.

5. Use the Heine–Borel Theorem to prove the following about compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n :

- (a) The union of finitely many compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n is compact;
- (b) The intersection of any collection of compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n is compact.

Solution:

Recall that, by the Heine–Borel Theorem, a subset of \mathbb{R}^n is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded.

(a) Let $K_1, \dots, K_\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact sets, and $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} K_i$. Since the union of finitely many closed sets is closed (Lecture 4, Video 6), it follows that K is closed. Moreover, as K_i , $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, are bounded, there exist $r_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, such that $K_i \subset B_{r_i}(0)$. Setting $r = \max_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} r_i$, we have that $K \subset B_r(0)$, hence K is bounded. Thus, K is compact.

(b) Let $K_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha \in A$, be compact sets, and $K = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} K_\alpha$. Since the intersection of arbitrarily many closed sets is closed (Lecture 4, Video 6), it follows that K is closed. Fix any $\alpha \in A$. Since K_α is bounded, there exists $r > 0$ such that $K_\alpha \subset B_r(0)$. Since $K \subset K_\alpha$, it follows that also K is bounded. Thus, K is compact.