## Homework #4

DUE: APR 19, 2024

1. Prove that if  $(M^n, g)$  is a complete connected Riemannian manifold with  $\sec > 0$ , then any two totally geodesic closed submanifolds  $N_1, N_2 \subset M$  with dim  $N_1 + \dim N_2 \geq n$ must intersect. (This is known as *Frankel's Theorem*.)

HINT: If  $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$ , adapt the proof of Myers' Theorem (p.7 of Lectures3.pdf).

Suppose  $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$ . Since  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are compact, there exists a unit speed minimizing geodesic  $\gamma : [0, L] \to M$  such that  $\gamma(0) \in N_1, \gamma(L) \in N_2$ , and, for all  $p_i \in N_i$ ,

$$\operatorname{dist}(p_1, p_2) \ge \operatorname{dist}(\gamma(0), \gamma(L)) = L > 0.$$

By the first variation formula,  $\gamma$  meets  $N_i$  orthogonally at its endpoints. Thus, the parallel transport of  $T_{\gamma(L)}N_2$  along  $\gamma$  from  $\gamma(L)$  to  $\gamma(0)$  is a linear subspace of  $T_{\gamma(0)}M$ whose intersection with  $T_{\gamma(0)}N_1$  has dimension  $\geq 1$ , since both are linear subspaces orthogonal to  $\dot{\gamma}(0)$  and the sum of their dimensions is at least n. Let  $v \in T_{\gamma(0)}N_1$ be a vector in this intersection, so that its parallel transport V(t) along  $\gamma(t)$  satisfies  $V(0) \in T_{\gamma(0)}N_1$  and  $V(L) \in T_{\gamma(L)}N_2$ . The variational field of  $\gamma_s(t) = \exp_{\gamma(t)} sV(t)$ ,  $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ , is clearly the parallel vector field V(t), and since  $N_i$  are totally geodesic,  $\gamma_s(0) \in N_1$  and  $\gamma_s(L) \in N_2$  for all  $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . Thus, by the second variation formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}s^2} E_{\mathrm{g}}(\gamma_s) \Big|_{s=0} &= \mathrm{g}\left(\frac{DV}{\mathrm{d}s}, \dot{\gamma}\right) \Big|_0^L + \int_0^L \mathrm{g}\left(\frac{DV}{\mathrm{d}t}, \frac{DV}{\mathrm{d}t}\right) + \mathrm{g}(R(V, \dot{\gamma})V, \dot{\gamma}) \,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= -\int_0^L \mathrm{g}(R(V, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, V) \,\mathrm{d}t \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

so for sufficiently small  $0 < s < \varepsilon$ , the curve  $\gamma_s$ , is shorter than  $\gamma_0 = \gamma$  and joins  $N_1$  to  $N_2$ , contradicting the choice of  $\gamma$  as minimizing geodesic between  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ .

2. Prove that a closed hypersurface  $M^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with sec > 0 is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^n$ .

HINT: If  $\vec{n}$  is a unit normal to M, show that  $M \ni p \mapsto \vec{n}_p \in \mathbb{S}^n$  is a covering map.

Choose a unit normal  $\vec{n}$  to the hypersurface  $M^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , which is possible as embedded submanifolds of codimension 1 in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  are two-sided. We write the second fundamental form of  $M^n$  as  $\mathbb{I}(X,Y) = h(X,Y) \vec{n}$ , where  $h(X,Y) = \langle S_{\vec{n}}X,Y \rangle$  and  $S_{\vec{n}}X = -(\nabla_X \vec{n})^T$ is the shape operator. By the Gauss Equation, for all  $X, Y \in T_p M$ , we have

$$0 < \sec(X \land Y) = h(X, X) h(Y, Y) - h(X, Y)^2 = \langle S_{\vec{n}} X, X \rangle \langle S_{\vec{n}} Y, Y \rangle - \langle S_{\vec{n}} X, Y \rangle^2.$$

Since  $S_{\vec{n}}: T_p M \to T_p M$  is symmetric, we can diagonalize it with an orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}$  of eigenvectors and corresponding eigenvalues  $\kappa_i$ , say  $S_{\vec{n}}e_i = \kappa_i e_i$ . Setting  $X = e_i$  and  $Y = e_j$  in the above, we find that  $\kappa_i \kappa_j > 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ . In particular,  $\kappa_i \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , which means that the linear map  $S_{\vec{n}}x = -(\nabla_x \vec{n})^T$  is invertible at all points, so the map  $M \ni p \mapsto \vec{n}_p \in \mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a local diffeomorphism, hence a covering map. Since  $\mathbb{S}^n$  is simply-connected, it follows that this map is a diffeomorphism.

3. Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a complete Riemannian manifold, and  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  a smooth function. Prove that f is convex, i.e.,  $\operatorname{Hess} f \succeq 0$ , if and only if for all geodesics  $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \to M$ , the function  $(f \circ \gamma): \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex. What can you say about the topology of  $(M^n, g)$  if it admits a *strictly* convex function, i.e., with  $\operatorname{Hess} f \succ 0$ ?

If  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  is smooth and  $\gamma(t)$  is a geodesic, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2} f(\gamma(t)) &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathrm{g} \big( \nabla f(\gamma(t)), \dot{\gamma}(t) \big) \\ &= \mathrm{g} \big( \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla f(\gamma(t)), \dot{\gamma}(t) \big) + \mathrm{g} \big( \nabla f(\gamma(t)), \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \dot{\gamma}(t) \big) \\ &= (\mathrm{Hess} f)_{\gamma(t)} (\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\operatorname{Hess} f \succeq 0$  implies  $f \circ \gamma$  is convex. Conversely, suppose  $f \circ \gamma$  is convex for all geodesics  $\gamma$ . For all  $v \in T_p M$  and all  $p \in M$ , there is a geodesic  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$ , hence  $(\operatorname{Hess} f)_p(v, v) = \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2} f(\gamma(t)) \ge 0$  for all  $p \in M$  and  $v \in T_p M$ .

Quite a lot can be said about a complete manifold  $(M^n, g)$  that admits a strictly convex function. Since Hess  $f \succ 0$  cannot hold at a maximum, the function  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  can only have critical points which are nondegenerate local minima. In particular, M is noncompact, for otherwise  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  would have a maximum, besides a minimum.

If  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  does not have a minimum, then M is diffeomorphic to  $N \times \mathbb{R}$  where  $N = f^{-1}(c)$  is the preimage of any (regular) value  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , as can be seen using the flow of the nowhere vanishing unit vector field  $\nabla f/||\nabla f||$ . Moreover, if  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  has a minimum, then it is unique. Indeed, if p, q are distinct local minima, then let  $\gamma: [0,1] \to M$  be a geodesic with  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\gamma(1) = q$ . But as  $f \circ \gamma$  is strictly convex, we have  $f(\gamma(t)) < \min\{f(p), f(q)\}$  for all 0 < t < 1, contradicting the fact that p, q are local minima. Let  $p \in M$  be the unique minimum of f. Since the vector field  $\nabla f/||\nabla f||$  is bounded and nowhere vanishing on  $M \setminus \{p\}$ , it follows that M is contractible. If, in addition,  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  is proper, i.e.,  $f^{-1}(K)$  is compact in M for all compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ , then M is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as a consequence of the Brown–Stallings Theorem.<sup>1</sup>

4. Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a connected closed Riemannian manifold, and consider smooth functions  $f, f_1, f_2: M \to \mathbb{R}$ . Recall that  $\Delta f = \operatorname{div} \nabla f = \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Hess} f$ , and a real number  $\lambda$  is an *eigenvalue* of  $-\Delta$  if there exists a nonzero function f such that  $-\Delta f = \lambda f$ , in which case f is called an *eigenfunction* of  $-\Delta$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

a) Prove the Green's identity 
$$\int_M f_1 \Delta f_2 \operatorname{vol}_g = -\int_M g(\nabla f_1, \nabla f_2) \operatorname{vol}_g$$
.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Brown-Stallings Theorem states that if  $M^n$  is a smooth manifold such that for all compact subsets  $K \subset M$  there exists an open subset O that contains K and is diffeomorphic to an open ball, then M is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It can be proved as an application of the Palais-Cerf Disc Theorem, see Palais "Extending diffeomorphisms", on Proc. AMS 1960. In particular, exotic  $\mathbb{R}^4$ 's have compact subsets not contained in any open subset diffeomorphic to a ball!

b) Show that harmonic functions on M, i.e., solutions to  $\Delta f = 0$  on M, are constant. Conclude that the smallest eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  on  $(M^n, g)$  is

$$\lambda_0(M^n, \mathbf{g}) := \inf_{f \in W^{1,2}(M)} \frac{\int_M \|\nabla f\|^2 \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbf{g}}}{\int_M f^2 \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbf{g}}} = 0,$$

and the corresponding eigenspace is formed by constant functions.

- c) Decompose the symmetric 2-tensor Hess f as the sum of its traceless part and a multiple of the identity<sup>2</sup> to show that if  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  is an eigenfunction of  $-\Delta$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then  $\lambda \int_{M} \|\nabla f\|^2 \operatorname{vol}_{g} \leq n \int_{M} \|\operatorname{Hess} f\|^2 \operatorname{vol}_{g}$ .
- d) Use the Bochner identity  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta \|\nabla f\|^2 = g(\nabla\Delta f, \nabla f) + \|\text{Hess}f\|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f)$  to prove that  $\int_M (\Delta f)^2 \text{ vol}_g = \int_M \|\text{Hess}f\|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \text{ vol}_g.$
- e) Using the above, prove that if  $(M^n, g)$  has  $\operatorname{Ric} \geq (n-1)k g$ , where k > 0, then the smallest nonzero eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  satisfies the Lichnerowicz estimate

$$\lambda_1(M^n, \mathbf{g}) := \inf_{\substack{f \in W^{1,2}(M) \\ \int_M f \text{ vol}_{\mathbf{g}} = 0}} \frac{\int_M \|\nabla f\|^2 \text{ vol}_{\mathbf{g}}}{\int_M f^2 \text{ vol}_{\mathbf{g}}} \ge n \, k.$$

a) A simple computation gives  $\operatorname{div}(f_1 \nabla f_2) = g(\nabla f_1, \nabla f_2) + f_1 \Delta f_2$ . By the Stokes theorem, since M is closed,

$$0 = \int_M \operatorname{div}(f_1 \,\nabla f_2) \,\operatorname{vol}_{\mathbf{g}} = \int_M \operatorname{g}(\nabla f_1, \nabla f_2) \,\operatorname{vol}_{\mathbf{g}} + \int_M f_1 \,\Delta f_2 \,\operatorname{vol}_{\mathbf{g}}$$

- b) If  $\Delta f = 0$ , then by Green's identity with  $f_1 = f_2 = f$ , we have that  $\nabla f \equiv 0$ . Thus, since *M* is connected, it follows that *f* is constant.
- c) The traceless part of Hess f is  $\text{Hess} f \frac{\text{tr} \text{Hess} f}{n} \text{Id} = \text{Hess} f \frac{\Delta f}{n} \text{Id}$ , and it is orthogonal to  $\frac{\Delta f}{n}$  Id in the inner product  $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr} AB$ . Thus,

$$\|\operatorname{Hess} f\|^2 = \left\|\operatorname{Hess} f - \frac{\Delta f}{n}\operatorname{Id}\right\|^2 + \frac{(\Delta f)^2}{n} \ge \frac{(\Delta f)^2}{n}$$

Integrating the above, using  $-\Delta f = \lambda f$  and Green's identity, we have:

$$n\int_{M} \|\operatorname{Hess} f\|^2 \operatorname{vol}_{g} \ge \int_{M} (\Delta f)^2 \operatorname{vol}_{g} = -\int_{M} \lambda f \Delta f \operatorname{vol}_{g} = \lambda \int_{M} \|\nabla f\|^2 \operatorname{vol}_{g}.$$

<sup>2</sup>Recall from Linear Algebra that if A is a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix, then its traceless part  $A - \frac{\operatorname{tr} A}{n}$ Id is orthogonal to Id, and hence  $\|A\|^2 = \|A - (\frac{\operatorname{tr} A}{n})$ Id $\|^2 + \frac{(\operatorname{tr} A)^2}{n}$ , since  $\|\operatorname{Id}\|^2 = n$ .

d) By Green's identity applied with  $f_1 = -\Delta f$  and  $f_2 = f$ , we have

$$\int_{M} \mathbf{g}(\nabla \Delta f, \nabla f) \ \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{g}} = -\int_{M} (\Delta f)^{2} \ \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{g}}$$

Since M is closed, integrating the Bochner identity, we have

$$0 = \int_{M} g(\nabla \Delta f, \nabla f) + \|\operatorname{Hess} f\|^{2} + \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \operatorname{vol}_{g},$$

so it follows that  $\int_M (\Delta f)^2 \operatorname{vol}_g = \int_M \|\operatorname{Hess} f\|^2 + \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \operatorname{vol}_g$ . e) If  $-\Delta f = \lambda f$  and Ric  $\geq (n-1)k$  g, where k > 0, combining c) and d), we have:

$$\lambda^2 \int_M f^2 \operatorname{vol}_{g} = \int_M (\Delta f)^2 \operatorname{vol}_{g} = \int_M \|\operatorname{Hess} f\|^2 + \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \operatorname{vol}_{g}$$
$$\geq \frac{\lambda}{n} \int_M \|\nabla f\|^2 \operatorname{vol}_{g} + (n-1)k \int_M \|\nabla f\|^2 \operatorname{vol}_{g} = \frac{\lambda + n(n-1)k}{n} \int_M \|\nabla f\|^2 \operatorname{vol}_{g},$$

so, if  $f \not\equiv 0$ , we obtain:

$$(\lambda + n(n-1)k) \frac{\int_M \|\nabla f\|^2 \operatorname{vol}_g}{\int_M f^2 \operatorname{vol}_g} \le n \lambda^2.$$

Letting  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$  be a function with  $\int_M f \operatorname{vol}_g = 0$  that achieves the infimum in the definition of  $\lambda_1 := \lambda_1(M, g)$ , the above inequality implies

$$\left(\lambda_1 + n(n-1)k\right)\lambda_1 \le n\,\lambda_1^2.$$

So, dividing both sides by  $\lambda_1 > 0$ , we conclude that  $\lambda_1 \ge n k$ .

REMARK: The above bound  $\lambda_1(M^n, g) \geq n k$  for manifolds with Ric  $\geq (n-1)k g$ , k > 0, is *sharp*: equality is achieved by the round sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n(1/\sqrt{k}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  of constant curvature sec = k, whose first eigenfunctions are height functions  $f(x) = \langle x, v \rangle$ , for any fixed  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Moreover, it is *rigid*: if  $(M^n, g)$  is a manifold with Ric  $\geq (n-1)k g$ , k > 0, and  $\lambda_1(M^n, g) = n k$ , then  $(M^n, g)$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{S}^n(1/\sqrt{k})$ .

- 5. Let (P, g) be a Riemannian manifold, and  $M \subset N \subset P$  be submanifolds of one another, with metrics induced by g. Prove or disprove (with a counter-example) the statements:
  - a) If M is totally geodesic in N and N is totally geodesic in P, then M is totally geodesic in P;
  - b) If M is minimal in N and N is minimal in P, then M is minimal in P;

- c) If M is totally geodesic in N and N is minimal in P, then M is minimal in P;
- d) If M is minimal in N and N is totally geodesic in P, then M is minimal in P.
- a) True. If M is totally geodesic in N and N is totally geodesic in P, then geodesics in M are geodesics in N and geodesics in N are geodesics in P. Thus, geodesics in M are geodesics in P, so M is totally geodesic in P.
- b) False. Let  $P = \mathbb{R}^3$ , N be a catenoid in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and M be the (unique) closed geodesic in the catenoid N. Then M is minimal (actually, totally geodesic) in N and N is minimal in P, but M is not minimal in P since it is not a straight line.
- c) False. Same counter-example as the previous item.
- d) True. If N is totally geodesic in P, then the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla^N$  of N agrees with the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla^P$  of P, i.e., for all  $X, Y \in T_pN$ , we have  $\nabla^N_X Y = \nabla^P_X Y$ . Fix an orthonormal basis of  $T_pP$  such that the first dim M vectors are an orthonormal basis of  $T_pM$  and the first dim N vectors are an orthonormal basis of  $T_pN$ . Since  $\mathbb{I}^P_M(X,Y) = \nabla^P_X Y \nabla^M_X Y = \nabla^N_X Y \nabla^M_X Y$ , in this basis

$$\mathbf{I}_{M}^{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{M}^{N} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so the trace of  $\mathbb{I}_M^P$  is equal to the trace of  $\mathbb{I}_M^N$ , hence zero, i.e., M is minimal in P.

X. (Will not be graded) In Problem 1, prove  $M^n$  is the boundary of a convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

Given a unit vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , consider the height function  $f_v(p) = \langle p, v \rangle$ ,  $p \in M^n$ . Clearly,  $\nabla f_v(p)$  is the orthogonal projection of v onto  $T_pM$ , so  $p \in M$  is a critical point of  $f_v$  if and only if  $v = \pm \vec{n}_p$ . Since  $M \ni p \mapsto \vec{n}_p \in \mathbb{S}^n$  is a diffeomorphism, it follows that  $f_v$  has exactly two critical points, say  $p_v^{\pm} \in M$ . At such critical points,

$$(\operatorname{Hess} f_v)(X,Y) = \langle \nabla_X \nabla f_v, Y \rangle = \pm \langle \nabla_X \vec{n}, Y \rangle = \mp \langle S_{\vec{n}} X, Y \rangle.$$

As explained in the solution to Problem 1, the eigenvalues  $\kappa_i$  of  $S_{\vec{n}}$  satisfy  $\kappa_i \kappa_j > 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Thus, either  $\kappa_i > 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , or  $\kappa_i < 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , so  $(\text{Hess}f_v)_{p_v^{\pm}}$  is either positive-definite or negative-definite. So each of the two critical points  $p_v^{\pm}$  is either a local minimum or local maximum of  $f_v$ . On the other hand, by compactness of M, the function  $f_v \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$  has a global minimum and a global maximum. Up to relabeling, let  $p_v^-$  be the global minimum and  $p_v^+$  be the global maximum, so for  $p \in M$ ,

$$f_v(p_v^-) \le f_v(p) \le f_v(p_v^+).$$

This means that  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is contained in the slab  $S_v$  between two parallel hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with normal vector v, that are at a bounded distance from each other and each intersects M at a single point  $p_v^{\pm}$ . By the Jordan–Brouwer separation theorem,  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus M$  has two connected components, the (bounded) *interior* of M and the *exterior*  of M. If x, y are in the interior of M, then the line segment  $\overline{xy}$  joining them is entirely in the interior of the slab  $S_v$ . In particular,  $p_v^{\pm} \notin \overline{xy}$ , since  $p_v^{\pm}$  is in the boundary of the slab  $S_v$ . Our choice of v, and hence of  $p_v^{\pm} \in M$ , was arbitrary, so it follows that no line segment joining two points in the interior of M intersects M. Therefore, the interior of M is convex, i.e., M is the boundary of a (strictly) convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

REMARK: The above is known as Hadamard's convexity theorem, and it was proved (in dimension 3) in: J. Hadamard, *Sur certaines proprietés des trajectoires en dynamique*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 3 (1897) 331–387.