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## Vector Algebra and Calculus

1 Revision of vector algebra, scalar product, vector product
2 Triple products, multiple products, applications to geometry
3 Differentiation of vector functions, applications to mechanics
4 Scalar and vector fields. Line, surface and volume integrals, curvilinear co-ordinates

5 Vector operators - grad, div and curl
6 Vector Identities, curvilinear co-ordinate systems
7 Gauss' and Stokes' Theorems and extensions
8 Engineering Applications

We started off

- being concerned with individual vectors $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$, and so on.

We went on

- to consider how single vectors vary over time or over some other parameter such as arc length

In rest of the course, we will be concerned with

- scalars and vectors which are defined over regions in space

In this lecture we introduce

- line, surface and volume integrals
- definition in curvilinear coordinates


## Scalar and vector fields

If a scalar function $u(\mathbf{r})$ is defined at each $\mathbf{r}$ in some region

- $u$ is a scalar field in that region.

Examples: temperature, pressure, altitude,
 $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentration
Similarly, if a vector function $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r})$ is defined at each point, then

- $\mathbf{v}$ is a vector field in that region.

Examples: wind velocity, magnetic field, traffic flows, optical flow, electric fields


In field theory the aim is to derive statements about bulk properties of scalar and vector fields

## Line integrals through fields

Line integrals are concerned with measuring

- the integrated interaction with a field as you move through it on some defined path.

Eg, given a map showing the pollution density field in Oxford, how much gunk would you breath in when cycling from college to the Department on different routes?


## Not entirely frivolous ...

$\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ in area of SE London


2003


2010

## Vector line integrals

1) Chop path $L$ into vector segments $\delta \mathbf{r}_{i}$.
2) Multiply each segment by the field value at that point in space.
3) Sum products.

Three types:


1: Integrand $U(\mathbf{r})$ is a scalar field. Integral is a vector.

$$
\mathbf{I}=\int_{L} U(\mathbf{r}) d \mathbf{r}
$$

2: Integrand $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r})$ is a vector field dotted with $d \mathbf{r}$. Integral is a scalar:

$$
I=\int_{L} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d \mathbf{r}
$$

3: Integrand $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r})$ is a vector field crossed with $d \mathbf{r}$. Integral is vector.

$$
\mathbf{I}=\int_{L} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}) \times d \mathbf{r}
$$

## \& Examples

Total work done by force $\mathbf{F}$ as it moves point from $A$ to $B$ along path $C$. Infinitesimal work done is $d W=\mathbf{F} \cdot d \mathbf{r}$, hence total work is

$$
W_{C}=\int_{C} \mathbf{F} \cdot d \mathbf{r}
$$

Ampère's law relating magnetic intensity $\mathbf{H}$ to linked current can be written as

$$
I=\oint_{C} \mathbf{H} \cdot d \mathbf{r}
$$

Force on an element of wire carrying current I when placed in a magnetic flux density $\mathbf{B}$ is $d \mathbf{F}=I d \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{B}$.
So total force on loop of wire $C$ :

$$
\mathbf{F}=I \oint_{C} d \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{B}
$$

Note: expressions above are beautifully compact in vector notation, and are all independent of coordinate system

## \& Examples

Q: A force $\mathbf{F}=x^{2} y \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+x y^{2} \hat{\jmath}$ acts on a body at it moves between $(0,0)$ and ( 1,1 ). Find work done when the path is:

1 along the line $y=x$.
2 along the curve $y=x^{n}$.
3 along the $x$ axis to the point $(1,0)$ and then along the line $x=1$


A:
In planar Cartesians $\quad \mathbf{r}=\hat{\mathbf{\imath}} x+\hat{\mathbf{\jmath}} y \quad \Rightarrow d \mathbf{r}=\hat{\mathbf{\imath}} d x+\hat{\mathbf{\jmath}} d y$
Then the work done is

$$
\int_{L} \mathbf{F} \cdot d \mathbf{r}=\int_{L}\left(x^{2} y \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+x y^{2} \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}\right) \cdot(\hat{\mathbf{\imath}} d x+\hat{\mathbf{\jmath}} d y)=\int_{L}\left(x^{2} y d x+x y^{2} d y\right)
$$

## Example Path 1

PATH 1: For the path $y=x$ we find that $d y=d x$. So it is easiest to convert all $y$ references to $x$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{(0,0)}^{(1,1)}\left(x^{2} y d x+x y^{2} d y\right) & =\int_{x=0}^{x=1}\left(x^{2} x d x+x x^{2} d x\right) \\
& =\int_{x=0}^{x=1} 2 x^{3} d x \\
& =\left[x^{4} /\left.2\right|_{x=0} ^{x=1}=1 / 2 .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

NB! Although $x, y$ involved these are NOT double integrals.
Why not?

## Example Path 2

PATH 2: For path $y=x^{n}$

$$
d y=n x^{n-1} d x
$$

Again convert $y$ references to $x$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{(0,0)}^{(1,1)}\left(x^{2} y d x+x y^{2} d y\right) & =\int_{x=0}^{x=1}\left(x^{n+2} d x+n x^{n-1} \cdot x \cdot x^{2 n} d x\right) \\
& =\int_{x=0}^{x=1}\left(x^{n+2} d x+n x^{3 n} d x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n+3}+\frac{n}{3 n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example Path 3


PATH 3: not smooth, so break into two. Along the first section, $y=0$ and $d y=0$, along second section $x=1$ and $d x=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{A}^{B}\left(x^{2} y d x+x y^{2} d y\right) & =\int_{x=0}^{x=1}\left(x^{2} 0 d x\right)+\int_{y=0}^{y=1} 1 \cdot y^{2} d y \\
& =0+\left[y^{3} /\left.3\right|_{y=0} ^{y=1}\right. \\
& =1 / 3
\end{aligned}
$$

Line integral depends on path taken

## \& Example 2

Q2: Repeat path (2), but now using the Force $\mathbf{F}=x y^{2} \hat{\mathbf{i}}+x^{2} y \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}$. A2:

$$
\mathbf{F} \cdot(\hat{\mathbf{\imath}} d x+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} d y)=x y^{2} d x+x^{2} y d y
$$

For the path $y=x^{n}$ we find that $d y=n x^{n-1} d x$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{(0,0)}^{(1,1)}\left(x y^{2} d x+x^{2} y d y\right) & =\int_{x=0}^{x=1}\left(x^{2 n+1} d x+n x^{n-1} x^{2} x^{n} d x\right) \\
& =\int_{x=0}^{x=1}\left(x^{2 n+1} d x+n x^{2 n+1} d x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 n+2}+\frac{n}{2 n+2}=\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is independent of $n$, so
This line is independent of path!
Can we understand why?

## Line integrals in Conservative fields

Write

$$
g(x, y)=x^{2} y^{2} / 2
$$

Then the perfect differential is

$$
d g=\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} d x+\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} d y=y^{2} x d x+x^{2} y d y
$$

So our line integral

$$
\int \mathbf{F} \cdot d \mathbf{r}=\int_{A}^{B}\left(y^{2} x d x+y x^{2} d y\right)=\int_{A}^{B} d g=g_{B}-g_{A}
$$

It depends solely on the value of $g$ at the start and end points, and not at all on the path

A vector field which gives rise to line integrals which are independent of paths is called a conservative field

## Some questions about conservative fields

One sort of line integral performs the integration around a complete loop. It is denoted $\oint$

1 If $\mathbf{E}$ is a conservative field, what is the value of $\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d \mathbf{r}$ ?
2 If $\mathbf{E}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{2}$ is conservative, is $\mathbf{E}_{1}+\mathbf{E}_{2}$ conservative?
3 Later we show that the electric field around a point charge q

$$
\mathbf{E}=K q \frac{\mathbf{P}}{r^{2}} \quad K=1 / 4 \pi \epsilon_{r} \epsilon_{0}
$$

is conservative. Are all electric fields conservative?
4 If $\mathbf{E}$ is the electric field, the potential function is

$$
\phi=-\int \mathbf{E} \cdot d \mathbf{r} .
$$

So are all electric fields conservative?

## Line integrals \& parametrized curves

\& Example 1:
Q: Evaluate $\int \mathbf{F} \cdot d \mathbf{r}$ when $\mathbf{F}=z \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+y^{2} \hat{\mathbf{j}}+x y \hat{\mathbf{k}}$ from $(0,0,0)$ to $(1,1,1)$ along the space curve $x=p, y=p^{2}, z=p^{3}$.
A:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F} & =p^{3 \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}}+p^{4} \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+p^{3} \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\
d \mathbf{r} & =d x \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+d y \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+d z \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\
& =d p \hat{\mathbf{k}}+2 p d p \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+3 p^{2} d p \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\
\int \mathbf{F} \cdot d \mathbf{r} & =\int_{p=0}^{p=1}\left(p^{3} d p+2 p^{5} d p+3 p^{5} d p\right) \\
& =\left[(1 / 4) p^{4}+\left.(5 / 6) p^{6}\right|_{p=0} ^{p=1}\right. \\
& =(26 / 24) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose the integral was from $(0,0,0)$ to $(-2,4,-8) \ldots \int_{p=\text { ? }}^{p=\text { ? }}$

## Line integrals \& parametrized curves /ctd

Above, $\int \mathbf{F} \cdot d \mathbf{r}$ boiled down to working out some straightforward $\int F(p) d p$. So, while the following don't appear to involve vectors, they could be the last stage in a vector integral ...

## \& 2 :

Consider

$$
I=\int_{L} F(x, y, z) d s
$$

where the path $L$ is the curve defined as $x=x(p), y=y(p), z=z(p)$. First, convert the function to $F(p)$, writing

$$
I=\int_{p_{\text {start }}}^{p_{\text {end }}} F(p) \frac{d s}{d p} d p
$$

where (from Lec 3) $\frac{d s}{d p}=\left[\left(\frac{d x}{d p}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d y}{d p}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d z}{d p}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$.
Then do the (now straightforward) integral w.r.t. p.

## Line integrals \& parametrized curves /ctd

$$
I=\int_{L} F(x, y, z) d s
$$

\& 3: Suppose parameter is arc-length $s$ and the path $L$ is $x=x(s), y=y(s), z=z(s)$.
Convert the function to $F(s)$, writing

$$
I=\int_{s_{\text {start }}}^{s_{\text {end }}} F(s) d s
$$

Q 4: If $p$ is $x$ - so $y=y(x)$ and $z=z(x)$ (or similar for $p=y$ or $p=z$ )

$$
I=\int_{x_{\text {start }}}^{x_{\text {end }}} F(x)\left[1+\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d z}{d x}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} d x
$$

## Surface integrals

Surface $S$ is divided into infinitesimal vector elements of area $d \mathbf{S}$ :

- the dirn of the vector $d \mathbf{S}$ is the surface normal
- its magnitude represents the area of the element.

Again there are three possibilities:
1: $\int_{S} U d \mathbf{S}$ - scalar field $U$; vector integral.
2: $\int_{S} \mathbf{a} \cdot d \mathbf{S}$ - vector field $\mathbf{a}$; scalar integral.

3: $\int_{\text {vector integral. }} \mathbf{a} \times d \mathbf{S}$ vector field $\mathbf{a}$;

## Physical example of surface integral

- Physical examples of surface integrals often involve the idea of flux of a vector field through a surface

$$
\int_{S} \mathbf{a} \cdot d \mathbf{S}
$$


dS

- Mass of fluid crossing a surface element $d \mathbf{S}$ at $\mathbf{r}$ in time $d t$ is

$$
d M=\rho \mathbf{v} \cdot d \mathbf{S} d t
$$

Total rate of gain of mass can be expressed as a surface integral:

$$
\frac{d M}{d t}=\int_{S} \rho(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d \mathbf{S}
$$

Note that expression is free of any coordinate system

## \& Example

Q: Evaluate $\int \mathbf{F} \cdot d \mathbf{S}$ over the $x=1$ side of the cube shown in the figure when $\mathbf{F}=y \hat{\mathbf{i}}+z \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+x \hat{\mathbf{k}}$.
$\mathbf{A}: d \mathbf{S}$ is perp to the surface. Often, the surface will enclose a volume: the surface direction is everywhere out of the volume
For the $x=1$ face of the cube,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \mathbf{S}=d y d z \hat{\mathbf{\imath}} \\
& \int_{S} \mathbf{F} \cdot d \mathbf{S}=\iint(y \hat{\mathbf{i}}+z \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+x \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \cdot d y d z \hat{\mathbf{\imath}} \\
&=\int_{y=0}^{y=1} \int_{z=0}^{z=1} y d y d z \\
&=\left.\left.\frac{1}{2} y^{2}\right|_{0} ^{1} z\right|_{0} ^{1} \\
&=1 / 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$


$d \mathbf{S}=d y d z \hat{i}$

## Volume integrals

The definition of the volume integral is again taken as the limit of a sum of products as the size of the volume element tends to zero.

One obvious difference though is that the element of volume is a scalar.
The possibilities are:
1: $\int_{V} U(\mathbf{r}) d V$ - scalar field; scalar integral (1P1 stuff!)
2: $\int_{V} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}) d V$ - vector field; vector integral. In this case one can treat each component separately.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{V} \mathbf{a} d V & =\int_{V} a_{1}(x, y, z) \hat{i} d V+\int_{V} a_{2}(x, y, z) \hat{\jmath} d V+\int_{V} a_{3}(x, y, z) \hat{\mathbf{k}} d V \\
& =\hat{\imath} \int_{V} a_{1}(x, y, z) d V+\hat{\jmath} \int_{V} a_{2}(x, y, z) d V+\hat{\mathbf{k}} \int_{V} a_{3}(x, y, z) d V
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $3 \times 1$ P1 stuff.

## Changing variables: curvilinear coordinates

Before dealing with further examples of line, surface and volume integrals it is important to understand how to convert an integral from one set of coordinates into another

You saw how to do this for scalar volume integrals in 1P1 (and we've seen that volume integrals can always be handled as scalars)

- but we need to understand where Jacobians came from, and how we can apply the mechanism more generally.

You will find the general problem slightly heavy going

- the better news is that later we specialize to the standard set of polar coordinate systems


## Changing variables: curvilinear coordinates

The line integral in Cartesian coordinates uses

$$
\mathbf{r}=x \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+y \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+z \hat{\mathbf{k}} \quad \text { and } \quad d \mathbf{r}=d x \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+d y \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+d z \hat{\mathbf{k}}
$$

You can be sure that length scales are properly handled because

$$
|d \mathbf{r}|=d s=\sqrt{d x^{2}+d y^{2}+d z^{2}}
$$

But often symmetry screams at you to change coordinate system:

- likely to be plane, cylindrical, or spherical polars,
- but can be something more general like " $u, v, w$ "
- likely to be plane, cylindrical, or spherical polars,
- a curvilinear coordinate system

Now the bad news: Length scales are screwed up

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r} & \neq u \mathbf{0}+v \hat{\mathbf{v}}+w \hat{\mathbf{w}} \\
d \mathbf{r} & \neq d u \mathbf{0}+d v \hat{\mathbf{v}}+d w \hat{\mathbf{w}} \\
|d \mathbf{r}|=d s & \neq \sqrt{d u^{2}+d v^{2}+d w^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Finding the length scales

Consider the transform to $u, v$ where $x=x(u, v)$ and $y=y(u, v)$
We write
$\mathbf{r}=x(u, v) \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+y(u, v) \hat{\mathbf{j}}$
And because

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d x=\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} d u+\frac{\partial x}{\partial v} d v \\
& d y=\frac{\partial y}{\partial u} d u+\frac{\partial y}{\partial v} d v
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\text { we can write } \begin{aligned}
d \mathbf{r} & =\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} d u+\frac{\partial x}{\partial v} d v\right) \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial u} d u+\frac{\partial y}{\partial v} d v\right) \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}} \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+\frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}\right) d u+\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+\frac{\partial y}{\partial v} \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}\right) d v \\
& =h_{u} \mathbf{0} d u+h_{v} \hat{\mathbf{v}} d v
\end{aligned}
$$

$h_{u}$ and $h_{v}$ are called metric coefficients

## Metric coefficients, ctd

To repeat, the metric coefficients appear in

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \mathbf{r} & =\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \hat{\mathbf{i}}+\frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}\right) d u+\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+\frac{\partial y}{\partial v} \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}\right) d v \\
& =h_{u} \mathbf{0} d u+\quad h_{v} \hat{v} d v
\end{aligned}
$$

$h_{u, v}$ are the factors that turn the $d u, d v$, or whatever, into proper lengths. But we can also write

$$
d \mathbf{r}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u} d u+\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial v} d v \quad \Rightarrow h_{u} \mathbf{0}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u} \& h_{v} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial v}
$$

As $\boldsymbol{0}$ has to be a unit vector, we find that

$$
h_{u}=\left|\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u}\right|=\left[\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

and similarly for 0

## We can tie this in with tangents

If we write the position vector as

$$
\mathbf{r}=x(u, v) \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+y(u, v) \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}
$$

we find the tangent to a $v$-constant curve as

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u}=\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \hat{\boldsymbol{i}}+\frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}
$$

lines of constant v


- This is like $d \mathbf{r} / d p$ but is partial because there are two parameters and $v$ is being held constant!

But $u$ is not arclength, so $\partial \mathbf{r} / \partial u$ will not be a unit tangent, rather

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u}=h_{u} \mathbf{0}, \quad \text { so } \quad h_{u}=\left|\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u}\right| \quad \& \quad \mathbf{a}=\frac{1}{h_{u}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u}
$$

and similarly for $\mathbb{V}$. Exactly what we derived before!

## To summarize ...

These ideas extend to $n$-vectors without need for further proof.

## Summary

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{r}=x(u, v, w) \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+y(u, v, w) \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+z(u, v, w) \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\
d \mathbf{r}=h_{u} d u \mathbf{0}+h_{v} d v \hat{\mathbf{v}}+h_{w} d w \hat{\mathbf{w}} \\
h_{u}=\left|\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u}\right| \quad h_{v}=\left|\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial v}\right| \quad h_{w}=\left|\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial w}\right| \\
\left|\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u}\right|=\left[\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial u}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and similarly for others.

## Surface integrals and curvilinear coordinates

The surface element is a vector product

$$
d \mathbf{S}_{i}=(d y \hat{\mathbf{j}}) \times(d z \hat{\mathbf{k}})
$$

In curvi coords

$$
d \mathbf{S}_{w} \neq(d u \mathbf{0}) \times(d v \hat{\mathbf{v}})
$$

Locally the surface element is planar，so

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \mathbf{S}_{w} & =\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u} d u \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial v} d v \\
& =h_{u} d u \mathbf{u} \times h_{v} d v \hat{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

lines of constant v


The general 3D result for $d \mathbf{S}_{w}$ in $(u, v, w)$ coords is

$$
d \mathbf{S}_{w}=h_{u} h_{v} \operatorname{dudv}(\mathbf{0} \times \boldsymbol{v})
$$

For an orthogonal curvilinear coord system，$\hat{a} \times \hat{v}=\hat{w}$ and

$$
d \mathbf{S}_{w}=h_{u} h_{v} d u d v \hat{\mathbf{w}}
$$

## Surface integrals and curvilinear coordinates /ctd

The general 3D result for $d \mathbf{S}_{w}$ in $(u, v, w)$ coords is

$$
d \mathbf{S}_{w}=h_{u} h_{v} \operatorname{dudv}(\mathbf{0} \times \mathbf{v})
$$

For an orthogonal curvilinear coord system, $\hat{a} \times \hat{v}=\hat{w}$ and

$$
d \mathbf{S}_{w}=h_{u} h_{v} d u d v \hat{\mathbf{w}}
$$

In the $(x, y) \rightarrow(u, v)$ plane we arrive at a familiar result:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \mathbf{S} & =\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \hat{\mathbf{i}}+\frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \hat{\mathbf{j}}\right) \times\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \hat{\mathbf{i}}+\frac{\partial y}{\partial v} \hat{\mathbf{j}}\right) d u d v \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{\mathbf{\imath}} & \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}} & \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\
x_{u} & y_{u} & 0 \\
x_{v} & y_{v} & 0
\end{array}\right| d u d v \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \\
\frac{\partial y}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial v}
\end{array}\right| \text { dudv } \hat{\mathbf{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Out pops the Jacobian!

## Volume integrals and Curvilinear Coordinates

What is the size of the volume element in curvilinear coordinates?

It is the volume of a parallelopiped, which in an earlier lecture we saw was given by the scalar triple product.


Hence

$$
d V=\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u} d u \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial v} d v\right) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial w} d w=h_{u} h_{v} h_{w} d u d v d w(\mathbf{0} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{w}}
$$

You can show that this is also the Jacobian: $\frac{\partial(x, y, z)}{\partial(u, v, w)}$

## Volume integrals and Curvilinear Coordinates

To repeat:

$$
d V=\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u} d u \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial v} d v\right) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial w} d w=h_{u} h_{v} h_{w} d u d v d w(\mathbf{0} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{w}}
$$

General 3D result

$$
d V=h_{u} h_{v} h_{w} d u d v d w(\mathbf{0} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{w}}=\left|\frac{\partial(x, y, z)}{\partial(u, v, w)}\right| d u d v d w
$$

Short cut if the system is orthogonal

$$
d V=h_{u} h_{v} h_{w} \quad d u d v d w
$$

## The Polars

Some curvilinear coordinate systems are orthogonal, meaning that $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ are mutually perpendicular, so that

$$
\hat{\mathbf{a}} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}=\hat{\mathbf{w}} \quad \text { and } \quad(\hat{\mathbf{u}} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{w}}=1
$$

We look at

- plane polars
- cylindrical polars
- spherical polars



## Plane polar co-ordinates

Start from the position vector:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r} & =x \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+y \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}=r \cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+r \sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}} \\
h_{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} & =\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial r}=(\cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{i}}+\sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{j}}) \\
h_{\theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} & =\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \theta}=(-r \sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+r \cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{j}}) \\
\Rightarrow h_{r} & =\left|\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial r}\right|=|\cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+\sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}|=1 \\
h_{\theta} & =\left|\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \theta}\right|=|-r \sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+r \cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{j}}|=r \\
\hat{\mathbf{r}} & =(\cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+\sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{j}}) \\
\hat{\theta} & =(-\sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{i}}+\cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{j}}) \\
\Rightarrow d \mathbf{r} & =h_{r} d r \mathbf{r}+h_{\theta} d \theta \hat{\theta}=d r \mathbf{\mathbf { r }}+r d \theta \hat{\mathbf{\theta}} . \\
\text { and } d \mathbf{S} & =h_{r} h_{\theta} d r d \theta(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\mathbf{\theta}})=r d r d \theta \hat{\mathbf{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cylindrical polar coordinates



$$
x=r \cos \phi, \quad y=r \sin \phi, \quad z=z
$$

Position vector $\mathbf{R}=x \hat{\mathbf{i}}+y \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+z \hat{\mathbf{k}}=r \cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+r \sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+z \hat{\mathbf{k}}$
Why change the notation of position vector from $\mathbf{r}$ to $\mathbf{R}$ ?
If we did not, $r$ would not equal $|\mathbf{r}|$, and $\mathbf{P}$ would not be in same dirn as $\mathbf{r}$.
This could be confusing.

## Cylindrical polars /ctd

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R} & =r \cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+r \sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+z \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\
h_{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} & =\partial \mathbf{R} / \partial r=(\cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+\sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}) \\
h_{\phi} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} & =\partial \mathbf{R} / \partial \phi=(-r \sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{i}}+r \cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}) \\
h_{z} \hat{\mathbf{z}} & =\partial \mathbf{R} / \partial z=\hat{\mathbf{k}} \\
\Rightarrow h_{r} & =1 \text { and } \hat{\mathbf{p}}=\cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+\sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{J}} \\
h_{\phi} & =r \text { and } \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}=-\sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{i}}+\cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{j}} \\
h_{z} & =1 \text { and } \hat{\mathbf{z}}=\hat{\mathbf{k}} \\
\Rightarrow d \mathbf{R} & =d r \hat{\mathbf{r}}+r d \phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}+d z \hat{\mathbf{z}} \\
\text { and } d \mathbf{S}_{r} & =h_{\phi} h_{z} d \phi d z(\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}})=r d \phi d z \hat{\mathbf{r}} \\
d \mathbf{S}_{\phi} & =h_{z} h_{r} d z d r(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}})=d z d r \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \\
d \mathbf{S}_{z} & =h_{r} h_{\phi} d r d \phi(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}})=r d r d \phi \hat{\mathbf{z}} \\
d V & =r d r d \phi d z
\end{aligned}
$$

## \& Example: Line integral in cylindrical polars

From the list: change in position vector is $d \mathbf{R}=d r \hat{\mathbf{p}}+r d \phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}+d z \hat{\mathbf{z}}$
Q: Evaluate $\oint_{C} \mathbf{a} \cdot d \mathbf{R}$, where $\mathbf{a}=x^{3} \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}-y^{3} \hat{\mathbf{i}}+x^{2} y \hat{\mathbf{k}}$ and $C$ is the circle of radius $\rho$ in the $z=0$ plane, centred on the origin.

A: Turn a into cp's
$\mathbf{a}=\rho^{3}\left(-\sin ^{3} \phi \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+\cos ^{3} \phi \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+\cos ^{2} \phi \sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{k}}\right)$ Since $d z=d r=0$ on our particular path, and the constant $r=\rho$,

$$
d \mathbf{R}=\rho d \phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}=\rho d \phi(-\sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+\cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{j}})
$$

so that
$\oint_{C} \mathbf{a} \cdot d \mathbf{R}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \rho^{4}\left(\sin ^{4} \phi+\cos ^{4} \phi\right) d \phi=\frac{3 \pi}{2} \rho^{4}$


NB! For line integrals you will often see the element along the path written as $d \ell$ (or $d \mathbf{r}$ ). Just roll with it ...

## Surface integrals in cylindrical polars



Cylindrical polars:
Often-used surface area elements are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \mathbf{S}_{z} & =d r \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times r d \phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}=r d r d \phi \hat{\mathbf{z}} \\
d \mathbf{S}_{r} & =r d \phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \times d z \hat{\mathbf{z}}=r d \phi d z \hat{\mathbf{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Less often needed is

$$
d \mathbf{S}_{\phi}=h_{z} h_{r} d z d r(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}})=d z d r \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}
$$

## \& Example: Surface integral in cyl polars

Q: Find $\int_{S} \mathbf{v} \cdot d \mathbf{S}$ when $\mathbf{v}=y^{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{i}}+x^{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}$ and the surface $S$ is a cylinder of radius $a$ and height $h$ whose base sits on the $x, y$ plane and whose axis coincides with $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$.
A: $\mathbf{v}$ has zero $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ component, so there is no contribution from the top (where $d \mathbf{S}=+r d r d \phi \hat{\mathbf{k}}$ ) or bottom ( $d \mathbf{S}=-r d r d \phi \hat{\mathbf{k}}$ ).
From the wall of the cylinder

$$
\int \mathbf{v} \cdot d \mathbf{S}=\int_{z=0}^{h} \int_{\phi=0}^{2 \pi}\left(a^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+a^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}\right) \cdot(a d \phi d z \hat{\mathbf{r}})
$$

But $\mathbf{~}=\cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+\sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{j}}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \mathbf{v} \cdot d \mathbf{S} & =a^{3} \int_{z=0}^{h} \int_{\phi=0}^{2 \pi}\left(\sin ^{2} \phi \cos \phi+\cos ^{2} \phi \sin \phi\right) d \phi d z \\
& \left.=\frac{a^{3} h}{3}\left[\sin ^{3} \phi-\cos ^{3} \phi\right)\right]_{0}^{2 \pi}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Can we see why zero? ....

## Surface integrals in cylindrical polars

... plot the vector field $\mathbf{v}=y^{2} \hat{\mathbf{i}}+x^{2} \hat{\jmath}$ from above. The red ring is the cylinder.


As much $\mathbf{v}$ flows in as flows out, and $\int \mathbf{v} \cdot d \mathbf{S}$ is the net outflow or efflux.

## Surface integrals in cylindrical polars

Q: Find $\int_{S} \mathbf{v} \cdot d \mathbf{S}$ when $\mathbf{v}=x \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+y \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}$ and the surface $S$ is a cylinder of radius $a$ and height $h$ whose base sits on the $x, y$ plane and whose axis coincides with $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$.

A: $\mathbf{v}$ has zero $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ component, hence there is no contribution from the top and bottom.
From the wall of the cylinder

$$
\int \mathbf{v} \cdot d \mathbf{S}=\int_{z=0}^{h} \int_{\phi=0}^{2 \pi}(a \cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+a \sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{j}}) \cdot a d \phi d z \hat{\mathbf{r}}
$$

But $\hat{\mathbf{P}}=\cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+\sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \mathbf{v} \cdot d \mathbf{S} & =a^{2} \int_{z=0}^{h} \int_{\phi=0}^{2 \pi} \ldots \\
& =\cdots \\
& =2 \pi h a^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Can we see why finite and positive? ...

## Surface integrals in cylindrical polars

... plot the vector field $\mathbf{v}=x \hat{\mathbf{i}}+y \hat{\mathbf{j}}$. The red ring is the cylinder ...

$\int \mathbf{v} \cdot d \mathbf{S}$ is the net efflux - clearly positive

## Volume integrals in cylindrical polars



In Cartesians, volume element given by

$$
d V=d x \hat{\mathbf{1}} \cdot(d y \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}} \times d z \hat{\mathbf{k}})=d x d y d z
$$

In cylindrical polars, volume element given by

$$
d V=d r \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot(r d \phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} \times d z \hat{\mathbf{z}})=r d \phi d r d z
$$

NB: Volume is scalar triple product, hence:

$$
d V=\left|\begin{array}{c}
\hat{\mathbf{r}} d r \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} r d \phi \\
\hat{\mathbf{z}} d z
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial x}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial r} \\
\frac{\partial x}{\partial \phi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \phi} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \phi} \\
\frac{\partial x}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial z}
\end{array}\right| d r d \phi d z
$$

## Spherical polars



Can use $\mathbf{r}$ again ...

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =r \sin \theta \cos \phi, \quad y=r \sin \theta \sin \phi, \quad z=r \cos \theta \\
\mathbf{r} & =x \hat{\mathbf{i}}+y \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+z \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\
& =r \sin \theta \cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+r \sin \theta \sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{j}}+r \cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Spherical polars /ctd

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r} & =r \sin \theta \cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{\imath}}+r \sin \theta \sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+r \cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\
\Rightarrow h_{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} & =\partial \mathbf{r} / \partial r= \\
h_{\theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} & =\partial \mathbf{r} / \partial \theta= \\
h_{\phi} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} & =\partial \mathbf{r} / \partial \phi= \\
\Rightarrow h_{r} & =1, \quad h_{\theta}=r \sin \theta, \quad h_{\phi}=r \\
\Rightarrow \mathbf{p} & =\sin \theta \cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{I}}+\sin \theta \sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}+\cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} & =\cos \theta \cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{i}}+\cos \theta \sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}}-\sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} & =-\sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{i}}+\cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{\jmath}} \\
\Rightarrow d \mathbf{r} & =d r \hat{\mathbf{r}}+r d \theta \hat{\theta}+r \sin \theta d \phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \\
d \mathbf{S}_{r} & =r^{2} \sin \theta d \theta d \phi \quad \text { on spherical surface } \\
d \mathbf{S}_{\theta} & =? d r d \theta \hat{\mathbf{\theta}} \quad \text { on conical surface: DIY } \\
d \mathbf{S}_{\phi} & =r d r d \phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \quad \text { on planar hemisphere surface } \\
d V & =r^{2} \sin \theta d r d \theta d \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

## Surface integrals in spherical polars

Three possibilities, but most useful are surfaces of constant $r$ The surface element $d \mathbf{S}_{r}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \mathbf{S}_{r} & =h_{\theta} d \theta \hat{\theta} \times h_{\phi} d \phi \hat{\phi} \\
& =r^{2} \sin \theta d \theta d \phi \hat{\mathbf{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## \& Example: Surface integral in spherical polars

$\mathbf{Q}$ : Evaluate $\int_{S} \mathbf{a} \cdot d \mathbf{S}$, where $\mathbf{a}=z^{3} \hat{\mathbf{k}}$ and $S$ is the sphere of radius $A$ centred on the origin.
A: In general:

$$
z=r \cos \theta \quad d \mathbf{S}=r^{2} \sin \theta d \theta d \phi \hat{\mathbf{r}}
$$

On surface of the sphere, $r=A$, so that

$$
\mathbf{a}=z^{3} \hat{\mathbf{k}}=A^{3} \cos ^{3} \theta \hat{\mathbf{k}} \quad d \mathbf{S}=A^{2} \sin \theta d \theta d \phi \hat{\mathbf{r}}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S} \mathbf{a} \cdot d \mathbf{S} & =\int_{\phi=0}^{2 \pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} A^{3} \cos ^{3} \theta A^{2} \sin \theta[\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}] d \theta d \phi \\
& =A^{5} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos ^{3} \theta \sin \theta[\cos \theta] d \theta \\
& =2 \pi A^{5} \frac{1}{5}\left[-\cos ^{5} \theta\right]_{0}^{\pi}=\frac{4 \pi A^{5}}{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Volume integrals in spherical polars



- Volume element given by

$$
d V=d r \hat{\mathbf{r}} .(r d \theta \hat{\theta} \times r \sin \theta d \phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\Phi}})=r^{2} \sin \theta d r d \theta d \phi
$$

- Note again that this volume could be written as a determinant


## Summary

- We introduced line, surface and volume integrals involving vector fields.
- We defined curvilinear coordinates, and realized that metric coefficient were necessary to relate change in an arbitrary coordinate to a length scale.
- We showed in detail how line, surface and volume elements are derived, and how the results specialized for orthogonal curvilinear system, in particular plane, cylindrical and spherical polar coordinates.
- Working stuff out from first principles has been hard going: as the examples showed, application is much easier!

