

Interference and Wave Nature Of Light

of our mirrors and lenses and distances between them

of materials

- aperture in its path
- > This leads to wave phenomena of light called **interference** and **diffraction**

 \succ We have been studying geometrical optics, where wavelength of light is much smaller than size

> Propagation of light is well described by linear rays except when reflected or refracted at surface

> Now we will study wave optics, where wavelength of light is comparable to size of an obsatcle or





Principle of Linear Superposition

> Take two waves of equal amplitude and wavelength and have them meet at a common point



> In this case, resulting wave would have an amplitude that doubled

> This is called **Constructive Interference (CI)**

• Define Optical Path Difference (OPD)

OPD = Difference in distance that two waves travel

> For CI to occur, we need waves to meet crest-to-crest, thus waves must differ by an integer multiple of wavelength λ $D = m\lambda, m = 0, 1, 2, ...$ Constructive Interference

> If two waves are in-phase $rac{rest}$ they meet crest -to-crest and trough-to-trough

> Their two amplitudes add to each other





Young's Double Slit Experiment

- > Plane wave is diffracted by each of slits so that light passing through each slit covers a much larger area on screen than geometric shadow of slit
- > This causes light from two slits to overlap on the screen $rac{re}$ producing interference
- > Each slit acts like a coherent light source



> Can we find a relationship between fringes and wavelength of light?

- > Two waves meet at point P on a screen
- $> \Delta l$ is optical path difference of two light waves coming from S_1 and S_2
- > Two waves interfere with each other, and if
 - $\Delta l = m \lambda^{\lambda}$ Constructive interference, and we see a bright spot
 - $\Delta_{\Lambda} \Delta \underline{I} = (m + \frac{1}{2})\lambda$ Destructive interference and we see a dark spot
- > Thus, we should see alternating bright and dark regions (called**fringes**)as we move along screen and above two conditions are satisfied
 - - > Answer is YES





- > Assume screen is far away from slits which are small
- > This is called Fraunhofer approximation
- \succ Since slits are very close together $racbox{-}\theta$ is same for each ray



 $\sin \theta = \frac{\Delta l}{d} \implies \Delta l = \frac{\Delta l}{\Delta} \sin \theta \Delta = -\theta$ $\Delta \Delta = -\theta$ > We know that for constructive interference $\blacktriangleright \Delta l = m\lambda$ $= \lambda \quad \theta = = \lambda \lambda$ $\theta = \lambda d \sin \theta = m\lambda$ for constructive integreence *m* is **order** of finge

These are interference conditions for double slit



θ

> This is what a typical double slit interference pattern would look like



> Order of dark fringes starts right above and below central bright fringe

> Second dark fringe on either side of central bright fringe is 1st order dark fringe, or m = 1

* Young's experiment provided strong evidence for wave nature of light

×

- > Notice there are alternating light and dark fringes
- > Also note that central fringe at θ = 0 is a bright fringe
- >It is also brightest of bright fringes
- > Order of bright fringes starts at central bright fringe



- If it was completely particle liker we could only get two fringes on screen not an interference pattern!







> In a Young's double-slit experiment, angle that locates 3^{rd} dark fringe oneither side of central bright maximum is 2.5°

> Slits have a separation distance $d = 3.8 \times 10^{-5} m$

>>> What is wavelength of light?

> What is the oppler? λ

It is 2^{nd} order dark fringe, or m = 2 $\theta =$ + λ

$$\theta = d \sin^{+} \theta = (m + \frac{1}{2})\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = 6.63 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m} = 663 \text{ nm}$$





- > What if we shined light on many close-spaced slits?
- > What would we expect to see?
- > Such an instrument is called a **Diffracting Grating**
- > Some of them can have tens of thousands of slits per cm
- > Again we see alternating bright and dark fringes
- > Each slit acts as a source of wavelets





Fringe Formation of Multiple Diffraction







Origins of Quantum Mechanics



> Quantum mechanics was born in early 20th century due to collapse of deterministic classical mechanics

> Collapse resulted from Discovery of various phenomena which are inexplicable with classical physics

> Pathway to quantum mechanics invariably begins with Planck and his analysis of blackbody spectral data

Stefan-Boltzman Law

 \succ Rate at which objects radiate energy $\blacktriangleright L \propto AT^4$

> At normal temperatures $rac{rac}{\sim} 300 K$ not aware of this radiation because of its low intensity

> At higher temperatures results a sufficient IR radiation to feel heat

> At still higher temperatures $rac{rac}{\sim} O$ (1000 K) objects actually glow such as a red-hoy electric stove burner

> At temperatures above 2000 K objects glow with a yellow or whitish color 🖛 filament of lightbulb



Blackbody Radiation

> A body that absorbs and emits all of radiation incident on it is called an **ideal blackbody**

> A blackbody is a piece of matter, and like all matter, it is componed of atoms

 \succ We can treat atoms in solid as being connected by invisible springs

>It is strictly **classical physics**

> This is called **Rayleigh-Jeans Law**

> It is a classical result

> k in above equation is Boltzmann cosntant $k = 1.38 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K



- > Each atom will vibrate, or oscilase, in 3-dimensions
- > This is called **simple harmonic approximation**

- > Vibrating atoms absorb and emit radiation, and classical physics tells us that
 - intensity of radiation emitted by oscillation is

$$I(\lambda) = \frac{4kT}{\lambda^2}$$



> If we make a plot of radiation intensity emitted by atomic oscillators versus wavelength, we would get



> Classical theory only gets it right at large wavelengths, but fails at low wavelengths

> This is known as **Ultraviolet Catastrophe**

Ultraviolet Catastrophe





Planck's Hypothesis of Energy Quanta

> Planck showed that he could get good agreement between theory and data if he assumed that

energy of atomic oscillators was a discreet variable

- > In other words energy could only have certain discreet values
- > Energy has to be proportional to frequency $rac{\epsilon} \propto f$
- $\mathcal{E} > \mathcal{W}$ ake this an equality $rac{}{rac{}} \mathcal{E} = hf$ $\infty 3$

> Proportionality constant is Planck's constant h

$$h = 6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J} \cdot \text{s}$$

$$E = 0, \varepsilon, 2\varepsilon, 3\varepsilon, \dots n\varepsilon$$





^b Energy is allowed to only have certain values r it is quantized

Energy is quantized

Or restricted to specific levels

This is like how climbing a ladder must be done using rungs

You cannot step between rungs to climb

E = nhf, n =

> Energy comes in discreet packets of (*hf*) called **quanta** or **quantum of energy**

Energy is not continuous

Climbing this ramp, you can stop at any point

A ramp does not have discreet or specific values, like energy







Wien's displacement law

in inverse proportion to T

$$\lambda_{max}T=2.$$

and at higher temperatures color becomes more yellow



> Wavelenghth λ_{max} at which spectral emittance reaches maximum decreases as T is increased

$100 \times 10^{-3} \text{mK}$

> Qualitatively consistent with observation that heated objects first begin to glow with red color



Photoelectric Effect

> In 1887 Heinrich Hertz produced and detected electromagnetic waves, thus proving Maxwell's theory > He also discovered something called **Photoelectric Effect**



> This results in a current flow in circuit as shown

> Important Characteristics of photoelectric effect

1- Only light with a frequency above some minimum value, f_0 will result in electrons being ejected -regardless of light intensity





> Let's look at a plot of KE of ejected electrons vs. frequency of light shining on metal:



> Now choose some constant value for frequency $f \ge f_0$, so that electrons are being ejected from metal

2-Maximum KE of ejected electrons remains constant, even if intensity of light is increased

> Classically, we would expect higher intensity light to eject electrons with greate KE

≻ It doesn't happen

No electrons are ejected from metal for frequencies below some f_0

 $f < f_0$ no ejected electrons

 $f \ge f_0$ electrons are ejected from metal's surface

f_0 is called **Threshold Frequency**





- \succ We would also expect that if we used very low intensity light, that it would take a long time for electrons to build up enough energy to be ejected from metal's surface > That doesn't happen either!
- as long as $f \ge f_0$
- > Einstein assumed that light was composed of discrete packets (particles) of energy called **photons**
- >Photon energy is given by \blacksquare

$$E = hf = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$$

- \succ More intense light is more photons it carries \models but each photon still has a energy \models E = hf
- > Now let's examine photoelectric effect in a little more detail

> Even if light intensity is very low, electrons are still ejected from metal's surface, almost instantaneously,



 \succ Free electrons occupy entire volume of metal

20



- > By conservation of energy $rac{1}{2}$ following relationship must be true

$$hf - W_o = KE_{e}$$

This is Einstein equation for Photoelectric Effect

> However, electrons close to metal's surface (surface electrons) are more weakly bound to metal than deep electrons

> But even though surface electrons are more weakly bound, there is still a minimum binding energy I must overcome to get them out of metal

> This is called Work Function (W_0) of metal

> It is an energy, and it is typically on order of a few eV

> During effect, a photon of light $(f > f_0)$ with energy hf strikes metal

and electrons are ejected with energy KE

Photon energy - Binding energy = KE of ejected electrons









Light photons

- ➤Light consits of Ohetelectron can discretely Light consists of priotons photon
- > One electron can discretely absorb one photon

 - One electron Electron use photon energy to absorb one overcome the potential barrier
- > Electron use photon energy to overcome potential barrier
 - Electron use photon energy to
 - overcome the potential barrier

Energy conservation

Sodium metal

Energy conservation: $hf - W_0 = KE_{e-}$ nergy conservation:

hf

Electrons ejected Fee

e

Einstein Theory Light consists of photons (hf)



Potential barrier

Whether or not electrons can get out depends on frequency of light not intensity of light !!!













> In view of particle properties for light waves **photons** de Broglie ventured to consider reverse phenomenon

> Assign wave properties to matter 🖛 to every particle with mass m and momentum $ec{p}$ 🖛 associate

 $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

> Assignment of energy and momentum to matter in (reversed) analogy to photons

$$E = hf$$

de Broglie Wavelength

$$= h/|\vec{p}|$$

and
$$|\vec{p}| = h/\lambda$$



- > All objects have a de Broglie wavelength baseballs, cars, even you and me!!
- comparable to size of opening or obstacle
- > For fun r let's calculate human body de Broglie wavelength

$$\lambda_{\text{Human}} = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{h}{mv} = \frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J} \cdot \text{s}}{(90.7 \text{ kg})(6.7 \text{ m/s})} = 1 \times 10^{-36} \text{ m}$$

So what does this number mean??

- > Size of an atom is roughly $1 \ge 10^{-10}$ m
- > So my de Broglie wavelength is some 26 orders of magnitude smaller than size of an atom!!!
- > We need sub-atomic particles to observe wave-like properties!!

> But remember, in order for wave effects to be seen, such as interference and diffraction, wavelength must be

> Which meanswe don't observe wave-like properties with everyday objects, baseballs, humans, etc



> Now let's repeat Young's double-slip experiment, but this time let's shoot electrons (particles) at slits instead of light What would we expect to see??

We might expect screen to appear with two bright fringes, one directly behind each slit



> What we actually see is shown in figure at botton alternating dark and bright fringes

> In other words - electrons have acted like waves and interfered with each other to produce classic interference pattern!

- and sometimes like a wave

> Things are even weirder than this!!....

> Our notion of electron as being a tiny discrete particle of matter does not account for fact that electron can behave as a wave in some circunstances

> It exhibits a dual nature -bahaving sometimes like a particle,







Neutron Double-Slit Experiment

- Parallel beam of neutrons falls on double-slit *
- * Neutron detector capable of detecting individual neutrons
- * Detector register discrete particles localized in space and time
- * This can be achieved if neutron source is weak enough



- Neutron kinetic energy $rac{10-4}{
 m eV}$ eV ×
- de Broglie wavelength 🖛 1.85 nm ×
- * Center-to-center distance between two slits $racksim d = 126 \ \mu m$



Fig. 9. Measured neutron distribution after diffraction at a double slit where a boron wire was used to define the two individual slits. The boron wire was opaque for the neutrons used in the experiment. Here, still, the solid line represents the first-principles theoretical calculation.

between two adjacent maxima is

$$\Delta \vartheta \approx \frac{\lambda}{d} = \frac{(1.85 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m})}{(1.26 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m})} = 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ rad}$$

experiment and theory of their de Broglie wave properties has been performed. As mentioned briefly above, the low intensity implies also some interesting aspects. Inspection, for example, of



- For $m = 1 rac sin \theta_1 = \lambda/d$ ×
- * as well as a component p_x
- From geometry racksing components are related by $p_{y/p_x} = \tan \theta_1$ ×
- Use approximation $\tan \theta_1 = \theta_1$ and $p_y = p_x \theta_1$ *

Neutron striking screen at outer edge of central maximum must have component of momentum p_y



Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle

- > All in all $rac{p_y}{p_y} = p_x \lambda/d$
- > Neutrons striking detector within central maximum i.e. angles between $(-\lambda/d + \lambda/d)$ have y-momentum - component spread over > Symmetry of interference pattern shows $\langle p_u \rangle = 0$ > There will be an uncertainty Δp_u at least as great as $p_x \lambda/d$

- > Narrower separation between slits d broader is interference pattern and greater is uncertainty in p_y
- > Using de Broglie relation $\lambda = h/p_x$ and simplifying

$$\Delta p_y \ge p_x \frac{h}{p_x d} = \frac{h}{d}$$

$$(-p_x\lambda/d, +p_x\lambda/d)$$

$\Delta p_y \ge p_x \lambda/d$



Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle (cont')

What does this all mean??

- \succ Both y-position and y-momentum-component have uncertainties related by \models
- > We reduce Δp_v only by reducing with of interference pattern
- \succ To do this \blacksquare increase d which increases position uncertainty Δy

and corresponding momentum uncertainty increases

> d = Δy represents uncertainty in y-component of neutron position as it passes through double-slit gap

 $\Delta p_u \Delta y \ge h$

> Conversely we decrease position uncertainty by narrowing doubl-slit gap interference pattern broadens







