

## Ray Approximation in Geometric Optics

$>$ We just Learned that light is a wave
> Unlike particles waves behave in funny ways e.g. they bend around corners
$\rangle$ However smaller wavelength $\lambda$ is $\Rightarrow$ weaker funny effects are
$>\lambda$ of light is about 100 times smaller than diameter of human hair!
> For a long time no one noticed "wave nature" of light at all
$>$ This means that for most physics phenomena of everyday life we can safely ignore wave nature of light
$>$ Light waves travel through and around obstacles whose transverse dimensions are much greater than wavelength and wave nature of light is not readily discerned
> Under this circunstances mbehavior of light is described by rays obeying set of geometrical rules
$>$ This model of light is called ray optics
> Ray optics is limit of wave optics when wavelength is infinitesimally small

## THIE ELECTROMAGNEIC SPECTRUM


$>$ To study more classical aspects of how light travels

- We will ignore time variations $\omega$ ( $10^{14} \mathrm{~Hz}$ too fast to notice)
- We will assume light travels through a transparente medium in straight line
- Light can change directos in 3 main ways
l. Boucing off objects (reflection)

2. Entering objects (e.g. glass) and bending (refraction)
3. Getting caught and heating object (absorption)
$>$ In other words

- We consider that light travels in form of rays
- Rays are emitted by lights sources and can be observed when they reach optical detector
- We further assume that optical rays propagate in optical media
- To keep things simple we will assume that media are transparent


## Fermat's Principle

$>$ When light ray travels between any two points its path is one that requires smallest time interval

$\rangle$ Obvious consequence of this principle maths of light rays traveling in homogeneous medium are straight lines because straight line is shortest distance between two points


## Reflection

$>$ When light ray traveling in medium encounters with another medium part of incident light is reflected
$>$ Reflection of light from smooth surface is called specular reflection
$>$ Reflected rays are parallel to each other as indicated in
$>$ Reflection from rough surface is known as diffuse reflection
$>$ If reflecting surface is rough surface reflects rays not as a parallel set but in various directions as shown in $m$
$>$ Surface behaves as smooth surface if surface variations are much smaller

4 thon raroxrolonoth of inoidont lioht than wavelength of incident light
$>$ Difference between these two kinds of reflection explains why it is more difficult to see while driving on a rainy night
$>$ If road is wet smooth water surface specularly reflects most of your headlight beams away from your car (and perhaps into eyes of oncoming drivers)
$>$ When road is dry its rough surface diffusely reflects part of headlight beam back towards you allowing to see highway more clearly

$>$ We'll concern ourselves only with specular reflection and use term reflection to mean specular reflection

## Law of reflection

$>$ Consider light ray traveling in air and incident at angle on flat smooth surface
$\Rightarrow$ Incident and reflected rays make angles $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{1}^{\prime}$ with respect to normal Normal
$>$ Experiments and theory show that
angle of reflection equals angle of incidence

$$
\theta_{1}^{\prime}=\theta_{1}
$$


$>$ Normal is a line drawn perpendicular to surface at point where incident ray strikes surface

## Refraction

- When light ray traveling in medium encounters with another medium part of energy is reflected and part enters second medium

$>$ Ray that enters second medium is bent at boundary and is said to be refracted
$>$ Incident ray, reflected ray, and refracted ray all lie in same plane
- Light only travels at $c \simeq 3 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ in vacuum
- In materials it is always slowed down
- Index of refraction how fast light travels through material

$$
\text { index of refraction }=n=\frac{\text { speed of light }(\text { in vacuum })}{\text { speed of light }(\text { in medium })}
$$

- Bigger n slower light travels

| Material | Index of Refleation (n) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vacuum | 1.000 |
| Air | 1.000277 |
| Water | 1.333333 |
| Ice | 1.31 |
| Glass | About 1.5 |
| Diamond | 2.417 |

Angle of refraction $\theta_{2}$
$>$ Depends on properties of two media and on angle of incidence

$$
\frac{\sin \theta_{2}}{\sin \theta_{1}}=\frac{v_{2}}{v_{1}}=\text { constant }
$$

> Path of a light ray through a refracting surface is reversible
$>$ For example ray shown in figure travels from point $A$ to point $B$

$>$ If ray originated at $B$ it would travel to left along line $B A$ to reach point $A$ and reflected part would point downward and to left in glass

## Behavior of light as it passes from air into another substance and re-emerges into air is often source of confusion

$>$ When light travels in air its speed is $3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ but this speed is reduced to $\approx 2 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ when light enters block of glass
$>$ When light re-emerges into air its speed instantaneously increases to its original value of $3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
$>$ This is far different from what happens when bullet is fired through apple
$>$ In this case speed of bullet is reduced as it moves through apple because some of its original energy is used to tear apart apple fiber
$>$ When bullet enters air once again it emerges at speed it had just before leaving apple




## As light travels from one medium to another its frequency does not change but its wavelength does

$>$ Waves pass observer at point $A$ in medium 1 with certain frequency and are incident on boundary between medium 1 and medium 2

$\geqslant$ Frequency with which waves pass observer at point B in medium 2 must equal frequency at which they pass point A
$>$ If this were not the case energy would be piling up at boundary ( $E=h f$ )
$>$ Because relationship $v=f \lambda$ must be valid in both media

$$
f_{1}=f_{2}=f \quad v_{1}=f \lambda_{1} \text { and } v_{2}=f \lambda_{2}
$$

$>$ Because $v_{1} \neq v_{2}$ it follows that $\lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}$
$>$ Relationship between index of refraction and wavelength

$$
\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}=\frac{v_{1}}{v_{2}}=\frac{c / n_{1}}{c / n_{2}}=\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}
$$

$>$ This gives $\quad \lambda_{1} n_{1}=\lambda_{2} n_{2}$
$>$ If medium 1 is vacuum (or for all practical purposes air) then $n_{1}=1$
$>$ Index of refraction of any medium $\quad n=\frac{\lambda_{\text {vacuum }}}{\lambda_{n}}$
$>$ Because $n>1, \lambda_{n}<\lambda$

$>$ If we replace $v_{2} / v_{1}$ in refraction angle relation with $n_{1} / n_{2}$
Snell's law of refraction $n_{1} \sin \theta_{1}=n_{2} \sin \theta_{2}$

## Images Formed by Flat Mirrors

$>$ Images are classified as real or virtual

- Real image formed when light rays pass through and diverge from image point
$>$ Virtual image formed when light rays don't pass through image point but only appear to diverge from that point
$>$ Image of object seen in flat mirror is always virtual

$>$ Real images can be displayed on screen (e.g. movie) but virtual images cannot be displayed on screen
$>$ There are infinite number of choices of direction in which light rays could leave each point on object we need only two rays to determine where image is formed
$>$ One ray starts at $P$ follows horizontal path to mirror and reflects back on itself
> Second ray follows oblique path $P R$ and reflects according to law of reflection

$>$ An observer in front of mirror would trace two reflected rays back to point at which they appear to have originated which is point $P^{\prime}$ behind mirror
$>$ Because triangles $P Q R$ and $P^{\prime} Q R$ are congruent $\sim P Q=P^{\prime} Q$
$>$ Image formed by object placed in front of flat mirror is as far behind mirror as object is in front
$>$ Geometry reveals that object height $h$ equals image height $h$,
$>$ Define lateral magnification $M$ of image as follows


$$
M=\frac{\text { Image height }}{\text { Object height }}=\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}
$$

$>$ This is general definition of lateral magnification for image from any type of mirror
$\rangle$ For flat mirror $M=1$ for any image because $h$ ' $=h$

## Images Formed by Spherical Mirrors

$\geqslant$ Spherical mirror has shape of section of sphere

Concave Mipror

$>$ Mirror has a radius of curvature $R$ and its center of curvature is point $C$ principal axis of mirror line through $V$ and $C$

## Convex Mirror


$>$ Image in convex mirror is virtual because reflected rays only appear to originate at image point
$>$ Image is always upright and smaller than object
$>$ Calculate image distance q from knowledge of object distance p and radius of curvature $R$
$>$ By convention these distances are measured from center point $V$
$>$ Consider two rays leaving tip of object
$>$ First ray passes through center of curvature $C$ of mirror hitting mirror perpendicular to mirror surface and reflecting back on itself
$>$ Second ray strikes mirror at V and reflects obeying law of reflection
$>$ Image of tip of arrow is located at point where these two rays intersect

$$
\tan \theta=h / p \quad \text { and } \quad \tan \theta=-h^{\prime} / q
$$

$>$ Negative sign is introduced because image is inverted so $h^{\prime}$ is taken to be negative

$>$ Magnification of image is $\quad M=\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}=-\frac{q}{p}$
$>$ Two triangles have $\alpha$ as one angle

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlrl}
\tan \alpha & =\frac{h}{p-R} & \text { and } & \tan \alpha
\end{array}\right)=-\frac{h^{\prime}}{R-q}
$$

$>$ Simple algebra reduces this to mirror equation

$$
\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=\frac{2}{R}
$$

$>$ If $p \gg R \Rightarrow 1 / p \approx 0 \Rightarrow p \rightarrow \infty$ and so $q \approx R / 2$
$>$ When object is very far from mirror image point is halfway between center of curvature and center point on mirror
$>$ Image point in this special case is @ focal point $\boldsymbol{F}$
and image distance is focal length $f$

$$
f=\frac{R}{2}
$$


$>$ Focal length is parameter particular to given mirror and can be used to compare one mirror to another
$>$ Mirror equation can be expressed in terms of focal length

$$
\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{f}
$$



Ray Diagrams for Mirrors


## Images Formed by Thin Lenses

- Geometry tells us (if walls are parallel) that $\theta_{2}=\theta_{3}$
- This means $\sin \theta_{2}=\sin \theta_{3}$
- So $\mathrm{n}_{1} \sin \theta_{\text {in }}=\mathrm{n}_{2} \sin \theta_{2}=\mathrm{n}_{2} \sin \theta_{3}=\mathrm{n}_{1} \sin \theta_{\text {out }}$
- This means (compare far left with far right of equation) $\sin \theta_{\text {in }}=\sin \theta_{\text {out }}$ which says $\theta_{\text {in }}=\theta_{\text {out }}$

- What if you have glass with walls that are not parallel?
- This is idea behind lenses
- As light enters it is bent and rays come out different depending on where and how they strike
- Focal length of optical system measures of how strongly system converges or diverges light
- For optical system in air focal length is distance over which initially collimated (parallel) rays are brought to a focus
- Lens geometry usually looks complicated (and it is!) but for thin lenses result is relatively simple



## Images Formed by Refraction

$>$ Consider two transparent media having indices of refraction $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$
boundary between two media is a spherical surface of radius $R$
$>$ Object at $O$ is in medium for which index of refraction is $n_{1}$
$>$ Consider rays leaving $O$ all such rays are refracted at spherical surface and focus at single point $I$


- Single ray leaving point $O$ and refracting to point $I$
$\geqslant$ Snell's law of refraction applied to this ray gives $n_{1} \sin \theta_{1}=n_{2} \sin \theta_{2}$
$>$ Because $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are assumed to be small we can use small-angle approximation

$$
n_{1} \theta_{1}=n_{2} \theta_{2}
$$

$>$ An exterior angle of any triangle equals sum of two opposite interior angles

$>$ Applying this rule to triangles OPC and PIC gives

$$
\theta_{1}=\alpha+\beta
$$

$$
\beta=\theta_{2}+\gamma
$$

$>$ If we combine all three expressions and eliminate $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$

$$
n_{1} \alpha+n_{2} \gamma=\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right) \beta
$$

> In small-angle approximation

$$
\tan \theta \approx \theta
$$

$$
\tan \alpha \approx \alpha \approx \frac{d}{p} \quad \tan \beta \approx \beta \approx \frac{d}{R} \quad \tan \gamma \approx \gamma \approx \frac{d}{q}
$$

$>$ Substitute these expressions and divide through by d to give valuable equation

$$
\frac{n_{1}}{p}+\frac{n_{2}}{q}=\frac{n_{2}-n_{1}}{R} \quad \text { Eq. }(\because \cdot)
$$

$>$ For a fixed object distance p image distance $q$ is independent of angle that ray makes with axis

## Thin lenses

Light passing through a lens experiences refraction at two surfaces
$\rangle$ Image formed by one refracting surface serves as the object for second surface
$>$ Analyze thick lens first and then let thickness of lens be approximately zero

$>$ Using Eq. $(\because)$ and assuming $n_{1}=1$ because lens is surrounded by air we find that image $I_{1}$ formed

$$
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{n}{q_{1}}=\frac{n-1}{R_{1}}
$$

$>$ Apply Eq. $(\because)$ to surface 2 taking $\mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{2}=1$
$>$ Taking $p_{2}$ as object distance for surface 2 and $q_{2}$ as image distance gives

$$
\frac{n}{p_{2}}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}=\frac{1-n}{R_{2}}
$$

$>$ Introduce mathematically fact that image formed surface 1 acts as object for 2

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Virtual image } & p_{2}=-q_{1}+t & \left(q_{1} \text { is negative }\right) \\
\text { Real image } & p_{2}=-q_{1}+t & \left(q_{1} \text { is positive }\right)
\end{array}
$$

$>$ For thin lens (one whose thickness is small compared to radii of curvature) we can neglect t

$>$ In this approximation $p_{2}=\sim q_{1}$ for either type of image from surface 1
$>$ If image from surface 1 is real mage acts as a virtual object so $p_{2}$ is negative

$$
-\frac{n}{q_{1}}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}=\frac{1-n}{R_{2}}
$$

$>$ Substituting $-\frac{n}{q_{1}}$ from surface 1 equation and rearranging terms gives

$$
\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}=(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)
$$

$>$ For a thin lens we can omit subscripts on $q$ and $p$ and call object distance $p$ and image distance $q$

$$
\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)
$$

$>$ Focal length $f$ of thin lens is image distance that corresponds to infinite object distance

- inverse of focal length for thin lens gives
$>$ Letting $p$ approach $\infty$ and $q$ approach $f$

Lens makers' equation $\frac{1}{f}=(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)$ just as with mirrors
$>$ If index of refraction and radii of curvature of lens are given
lens makers' equation enables calculation of focal length

Thin lens equation $\quad \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{f}$
$>$ Magnification of Images $\quad M=\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}=-\frac{q}{p}$

## Ray diagrams for Thin Lenses



## Magnifying glass

> Convex lens can be used as a magnifying glass...

$>$ How do you know where objects are?
$\geqslant$ How do you see them?
$>$ You deduce direction and distance in complicated ways but arises from angle and intensive of bundle of light rays that make it into your eye
$>$ Eye is adaptive optical system

- Crystalline lens of eye changes its shape to focus light from objects over a great range of distances



