



> If you rub a balloon across your hair on a dry day the balloon and your hair become charged and attract each other > Two charged balloons, on the other hand, repel each other The two balloons must have the same kind of charge because each became charged in the same way Because two charged balloons repel one another we see that like charges repel

Conversely 🖙 a rubbed balloon and your hair which do not have the same kind of charge are attracted to one another

In unlike charges attract







Charge is an intrinsic property of matter

> Two types of charges name by Ben Franklin

> How charges interact

* Opposite charges attract

***** Like charges repel





- \rightarrow Net Charge \implies the total amount of charge on an object
- > For most objects positive and negative charges balance out or net charge = zero * They are said to be electrically neutral
- > "Charged" means
- * Having **more** of one kind of charge
- \succ Charges can be separated
- > The net charge in an isolated system cannot change
- * This means that the sum of the negative and positive charges in any system remains constant
- > You can move charges around but you cannot create or destroy charge!









> Mechanics never really tell us what mass is @ but just how it behaves: how it moves, etc.

LIKEWISE

Electricity and magnetism

Classical Electromagnetism does not tell us what charge is exactly 🖙 but only how it behaves !





All matter is composed of atoms All atoms are composed of three subatomic particles Electrons: negatively charged (elementary particles)

- Protons: positively charged (made out of quarks & gluons)
- Neutrons: uncharged (made out of quarks & gluons)



- > Protons and neutrons have almost equal masses > Electrons are about 2,000 times less massive than protons and neutrons
 - * Electron mass = 9.11 x 10^{-31} kg
 - * Proton mass = $1.673 \times 10^{-27} \text{kg}$
 - * Neutron mass = $1.675 \times 10^{-27} \text{kg}$
- > Protons and neutrons make up the nucleus of an atom with its electrons moving about the nucleus some distance away
- > Atoms are mostly ... empty regime we live in almost empty space







A schematic diagram (not to scale) of the most common type of carbon atom, which has six protons, six neutrons, and six electrons



Charge is Conserved and Quantized

and an equal positive charge is left behind on the rod charges on the two objects are $\pm e, \pm 2e, \pm 3e, \cdots$





A negatively charged rubber rod suspended by a thread is attracted to a positively charged glass rod

- When a glass rod is rubbed with silk electrons are transferred from the glass to the silk
- Because of conservation of charge each electron adds negative charge to the silk
- Also rebecause charges are transferred in discrete bundles



A negatively charged rubber rod is repelled by another negatively charged rubber rod







The unit of electric charge is Coulomb (C)

Electrons carry the smallest "piece" of negative charge

Protons carry an equal amount of positive charge

 $\pm e = \pm 1.6 \ge 10^{-19} C$

- (-) 1.6 x 10^{-19} Coulombs of charge
- (+) 1.6 x 10⁻¹⁹ Coulombs of charge
- Electric charges is not continuous but occur in multiples of basic unit charge in nature





Electric force between two charges q_1 and q_2 described by $rac{rac}$ Coulomb's Law

 $\vec{F}_{12} = \text{Force } q_1 \text{ on exerted by } q_2$ $\vec{F}_{12} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \cdot \frac{q}{4\pi}$ $\hat{r}_{12} = rac{ec{r}_{12}}{|ec{r}_{12}|}$ r unit vector which locates particles i.e $ec{r}_{12} =$ > q_1, q_2 are electrical charges in unit 🥕 Charge is quantized 🖛 electron carr > Permittivity of free space $\epsilon_0 = 8.8$

$$\frac{q_{1}q_{2}}{r_{12}^{2}} \cdot \hat{r}_{12}$$
particle 1 relative to particle 2
$$= \vec{r}_{1} - \vec{r}_{2}$$
ts of Coulomb (C)
$$ries 1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$$

$$5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ C}^{2}/\text{Nm}^{2} \quad \blacktriangleright \quad k = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}}$$





(1) q_1, q_2 can be either positive or negative (2) If q_1, q_2 are of same sign (3) Force on q_2 exerted by q_1 : $\vec{F}_{21} =$

BUT

 $r_{12} = r_{21} = \text{distance between } q_1, q_2$ $\hat{r}_{21} = \frac{\vec{r}_{21}}{r_{21}} = \frac{\vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_1}{r_{21}} = \frac{-\vec{r}_{12}}{r_{12}} = -\hat{r}_{12}$



force experienced by q_2 is in direction away from q_1 i.e. repulsive

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \cdot \frac{q_2 q_1}{r_{21}^2} \cdot \hat{r}_{21}$$

 $\vec{F}_{21} = -\vec{F}_{12}$ Newton's 3rd Law





Example 1

What is the magnitude of the force on the proton due to the electron in hydrogen?







$$F = kq_p q_e / r^2$$

What is the magnitude of the force on the proton due to the electron in hydrogen?











What is the direction of the force on the proton due to the electron?

(A) Left



Example 1

(B) Right

(C) Zero









What is the direction of the force on the proton due to the electron?





Example 1











What is the direction of the force on the electron due to the proton?

(A) Left



Example 1

(B) Right

(C) Zero









What is the direction of the force on the electron due to the proton?





Example 1

(B) Right

(C) Zero









The hydrogen atom, the simplest of all, consists of a single proton as its nucleus, with an electron an average of $5.3 \ge 10^{-11}$ m away.

(i) Compare the electric and gravitational forces between the proton and the electron in this atom

(ii) Would life be different if the electron were positively charged and the proton were negatively charged?

Does the choice of signs have any bearing on physical and chemical interactions? Explain







Solution (i)

* Take the ratio of the electric force divided by the gravitational force, that is

$$\frac{F_E}{F_G} = \left(\frac{q_1 q_2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \ r^2}\right) / \left(\frac{G \ m_1 m_2}{r^2}\right) = \frac{8.99 \times 10^{-11}}{6.67 \times 10^{-11}}$$

Solution (ii)

No. *

- * Life would be no different if electrons were positively charged and protons were negatively charged.
- Opposite charges would still attract, and like charges would still repel.
- The designation of charges as positive and negative is merely a definition.

Coulomb's Law

Example 2

- $\frac{\times 10^9 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{C}^2 \ (1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C})^2}{\text{N} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{kg}^2 \ 9.11 \times 10^{-31} \text{ kg} \ 1.67 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}} \simeq 2.3 \times 10^{39}$
- * The electric force is about 2.3 x 10^{39} times stronger than the gravitational force for the given scenario.







> How does the Electric force compare to the other fundamental forces?

* Gravity

- Strong force (atomic nucleus)
- * Weak force (radioactivity, star "fuel")





Elementary Particles	10 -20
Atomic Nuclei	10 -15
Atoms Molecules	10 -10
	10 -5
Human	1
Earth	10 ⁵
Solar System	10 ¹⁰
	10 ¹⁵
Farthest Galaxy	10 ²⁰







- Interactions 'mediated' by exchange of particles ('gauge bosons')
- * Weak interaction: Vector bosons (m large)
- Strong interaction: Gluons (m=0)
- Electric Force: Photons (m=0)
- \$ Gravity: Graviton (m=0)
- > For infinite range @ exchanged particle must be massless!

Weak force: short range 🖙 but why does strong force also have short range?

These cables mean that the force does not decrease with an inverse square law (which is what you would expect from typical massless particle exchange) ^{cor} but rather (to first approximation) give a force that is constant with distance

Strong force tends to keep particles very close

If the cable extends very far 🖙 it breaks and creates quark-antiquark pairs

This limits the range of the string splitting distance



- Fundamental reason is that "gluons" strongly attract each other unlike photons or gravitons So when they extend out to large distances they tend to bundle up into narrow cable-like structures



PRINCIPLE OF SUPERPOSITION $\vec{F}_1 = \sum \vec{F}_{1,j}$





- Total force experienced by charge q_1
- vector sum of forces on q_1 exerted by other charges

- $= \vec{F}_{1,2} + \vec{F}_{1,3} + \vec{F}_{1,4} + \dots + \vec{F}_{1,N}$
 - j=2

$$\frac{10^{26}}{N} = \frac{(0.500 \text{ m})^2}{(0.500 \text{ m})^2} = \frac{(0.500 \text{ m})^2}{Coulomb's'} \text{Lat} \\ \times 10^9 = \frac{N \cdot m^2}{C^2} = \frac{(7.00 \times 10^{-6} \text{ C})(4.00 \times 10^{-6} \text{ C})}{(0.500 \text{ m})^2} = \frac{10^{-6} \text{ C}}{Example} = 3$$

 F_2 transformed by the second seco F_2 in the the only on the second direction of the net force on the 7.00 μ C charge.



7.00 µC (+)smple Z F_2 osition principle, we known 0.500 m 2.00μ C -4.00 uC

> $7.00 \ \mu C$ 0.50 m

= 0.503 N

$$F_{2} = \left(8.99 \times 10^{9} \frac{N \cdot m^{2}}{C^{2}}\right) \frac{(7.00 \times 10^{-6} \text{ C})(4.00 \times (0.500 \text{ m})^{2})}{(0.500 \text{ m})^{2}}$$
= $\overline{1.01 \text{ N}}$
The superposition principle, we known
 $\Sigma F_{x} = (F_{1} + F_{2})\cos 60.0^{\circ} = 0.755 \text{ N},$
Applying Couroighs law to calquides each force, we get
and $F_{2} = 8.99 \times 10^{9} \frac{N \cdot m^{2}}{C^{2}} \frac{7.00 \times 10^{-6} \text{ C} \cdot 4.00 \times 10^{-6}}{7.00 \text{ mC}(2500 \text{ m})^{2} \text{ s}}$
FFom the superposition of the superpose for the superpos

So the resultant force on the 7.00 µC charge is $F_R = \sqrt{2}$ lectrostatic force on it is zero.

<u>ition:</u>



History of Electromagnetism

- Circa B.C. 500: Greeks discover that rubbed amber attracts small pieces of stuff * (Note the Greek word for amber: " $\eta \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho o \nu$ ", or "electron") ×
- positive; example, rubbing glass with silk) and resinous (negative; rubbing resin with fur)
- X Suggested an experiment to Priestley to indirectly measure the inverse square law...
- 1766: Joseph Priestley did the suggested experiment, indirectly proving $1/r^2$ form of the force law X
- 1773: Henry Cavendish did Priestley's experiment accurately $F = k - \frac{q}{d}$
- * By late 1800s, Maxwell was able to show that $|\delta| < 1/21600$
- ★ By 1936 Plimpton and Lawton were able to show $|\delta| < 2 \times 10^{-9}$

They also discover that certain iron rich rocks from the region of $M\alpha\gamma\nu\eta\sigma\iota\alpha$ (Magnesia) attract other pieces of iron

Summary of today's class

1730: Charles Francois du Fay noted that electrification seemed to come in two "flavors": vitreous (now known as

1740: Ben Franklin suggested the "one fluid" hypothesis: "positive" things have more charge than "negative" things

$$\frac{l_1 q_2}{2+\delta} \qquad |\delta| < \frac{1}{50}$$

* 1786: Charles-Augustin de Coulomb measured the electrostatic force and directly verified the inverse square law







Looking ahead

- 1800: Count Alessandro Giuseppe Antonio Anastasio Volta invented the electric battery
- 1831: Michael Faraday discovered magnetic induction
- 1873: James Clerk Maxwell unified electricity and magnetism into electromagnetism
- 1887: Heinrich Hertz confirms the connection between electromagnetism and radiation
- between electric and magnetic fields

History of Electromagnetism

1820: Hans Chrstian Oersted and André-Marie Ampère established connection between magnetic fields and electric currents

1905: Albert Einstein formulates the special theory of relativity, which (among other things) clarifies the inter-relationship





